

Before the
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400 005.
Tel. No. 022 22163964/65/69 – Fax 022 22163976
E-mail: mercindia@mercindia.org.in
Website: www.mercindia.org.in

Case No. 62 of 2005

Application of M/s Bekaert Industries Pvt. Ltd. seeking exemption from staggering day and 90% load regulation target.

Dr Pramod Deo, Chairman
Shri A. Velayutham, Member
Shri.S.B.Kulkarni, Member

Dated: 19th July, 2006

1. M/s Bekaert Industries Pvt. Ltd., (BIPL) submitted an application dated 14th March, 2006 seeking exemption from staggering day, 90% load reduction target and subsequent tariff measures.
2. At the admissibility hearing of the said matter held on 11th May 2006, Shri. Arnold Camelbeke, Managing Director of BIPL submitted that upon having received instructions to save 10% energy, the Petitioner found it impossible to achieve the same, since the Petitioner is in an expansion mode as well as having already taken steps to conserve energy with substantial investments in energy saving measures.
3. Drawing attention to the Order dated 21st February, 2006, the Commission clarified that 90% load regulation would only apply to the sanctioned load/ contract demand and not on increase thereon.
4. Smt. Deepa Chawan, Counsel for MSEDCL submitted that the Commission was seized of the issue of load shedding protocol since March 2005, which culminated into an Order dated 4th May, 2005. She submitted that the Petitioner should refer to the Orders passed by the Commission giving detailed conditions under which the load restriction is to be imposed on various High Tension Industries to understand how to apply in their case, and then the Petitioner may take a view in the matter of seeking relief, if any.
5. Accordingly, the Commission adjourned the hearing of the petition and directed MSEDCL to assist the Petitioner in understanding the application of the said Orders passed by the Commission, whereafter, the Petitioner may either withdraw the present petition or take any other suitable course of action open to it.



6. In response to the directions of the Commission dated 11th May 2006, BIPL vide their letter dated 6th July, 2006 addressed to the Commission stated that after the first hearing on 11th May, 2005 and their various discussions with MSEDCL, they have decided to withdraw their application.

In view of the same, the Commission allows the application to be withdrawn.

Sd/-
(S.B.Kulkarni)
Member (F)

Sd/-
(A.Velayutham)
Member (T)

Sd/-
(Dr. Pramod Deo)
Chairman, MERC

(Ms Malini Shankar)
Secretary, MERC

