

## FROM THE CHAIRMAN'S DESK

Dr Pramod Deo, our former Chairman, demitted office on June 6, 2008. Dr Deo had joined MERC as a Member on April 29, 2002 and was elevated as Chairman on February 11, 2005. The undersigned took over the reins of office as Chairman on December 18, 2008.

The year 2008-2009 was an eventful one for the Electricity Sector. In a landmark Judgment, the Supreme Court ruled that "under the terms and conditions of the licence held by it, Tata Power Company Ltd is entitled to effect supply of electrical energy in retail directly to consumers, whose maximum demand is less than 1000 KVA, apart from its entitlement to supply energy to other licensees for their own purposes and in bulk, within its area of supply as stipulated in its licences ...". With this Judgment, delivered on July 8, 2008, Mumbai became a metropolis with two parallel Licensees having rights to distribute electricity in the same geographical area. (BEST and Tata Power in the Island City of Mumbai; Reliance Infra and Tata Power in the Suburbs of Mumbai).

The year also saw the emergence of two power exchanges (Indian Energy Exchange Limited and Power Exchange India Limited) providing online electricity Trading Platforms.

Notwithstanding the Electricity Act, 2003 which is a clearly enunciated manifesto for reforms, alas Power Sector reforms continue to elude us. Efforts at reducing transmission and distribution losses have at best met with only marginal success. Maharashtra continued to face a demand-supply gap during the year. A Load-Shedding protocol laid down by the Commission made the suffering equitable based on certain objective criteria.

The issue of Open Access in transmission and distribution is intimately linked to the ability of Regulators to restructure cross-subsidy surcharge and wheeling charges. The Electricity Act, 2003 is premised on using competition, consumer choice and markets to improve efficiency and productivity, and incentivize new generation. The ground reality is that old mind-sets, which see reforms as a threat rather than an opportunity, dominate existing institutions and organizations.

Electricity tariff rationalization requires broader bipartisan support. Unless all the mainstream players are willing to act collectively and the political class is willing to bite the bullet, it will be unduly optimistic to expect significant positive outcomes.

Notwithstanding these daunting challenges, the Commission intends to pro-actively push the reform agenda set forth in the Electricity Act, 2003 with a view to improve the health of the Electricity Sector and to attract new investments in Generation, Transmission and Distribution.



(V. P. Raja)

## COMMISSION MEETINGS

The Commission conducted three meetings through the year in the months of July - 2008, January - 2009 and March - 2009.

### State Advisory Committee Meeting

The State Advisory Committee (SAC) meeting was conducted on 18th November, 2008 to discuss the Constitution of Co-ordination Forum and Framing of Electricity (Maharashtra) Rules. This included the identification of the approaches to constitute and run the co-ordination forum as well as the current update on the new Electricity (Maharashtra) Rules.

Other points discussed include:

- Allocation of utility corridor along the roads by MCGM.
- Deliberation on the reinstate charges in various territories.
- The need for a better overall coordination with various utility companies.
- Formation of citizens committee to keep a check on the work in process as well as formation of a committee in each district to execute issues related to that region.
- Issues related to dispute resolution between power utility companies.

## GRANT OF LICENSE

### Issuing Power Trading License and Power Transmission License as per Sections 14 and 15 of EA 2003

M/s. Indiabulls Power Generation Ltd. had applied for intra-state Power Trading License (Case No. 99 of 2007, 21st August 2008) and Jaigad Power Transco Ltd. applied for and Electricity Transmission License in Maharashtra State (Case No. 96 of 2008, 8th February 2009), in conformity with Sections 14 and 15 of Electricity Act 2003. These applications were processed by the Commission as per the relevant sections of the Act, the relevant Regulations of MERC as well as CERC and as per the National policies regarding the matter and the Licensees were issued to the parties.

**Application of M/s. Indiabulls Power Generation Limited (IPGL) for Grant of License in accordance with the MERC (Trading License Conditions) Regulations, 2004 for Undertaking Intra-State**

### Trading of Electricity in the State of Maharashtra

M/s. Indiabulls Power Generation Limited had submitted an application on 2nd February, 2008 in accordance with the MERC (Trading License Conditions) Regulations, 2008, for grant of license to undertake intra-state trading of electricity up to 1000 MU per annum in the State of Maharashtra. The Commission had conducted the hearing in the matter on 16th July, 2008 and issued its Order on 21st August, 2008. The Commission observed that IPGL satisfied the technical, commercial and financial requirements to qualify for the grant of license to undertake intra-state trading in accordance with MERC (Trading License Conditions) Regulations, 2004 and granted license to IPGL for undertaking intra-state trading of electricity up to 1000 MU per annum for a period of 25 years in the State of Maharashtra.

### Application of M/s. Jaigad Power Transco Limited seeking Transmission License under the MERC (Transmission License Conditions) Regulations, 2004 as Amended in 2006 for undertaking transmission of electricity in the State of Maharashtra for the proposed 400 KV Transmission Lines

M/s. Jaigad Power Transco Limited had submitted an Application on 28th May, 2008 in accordance with MERC (Transmission License Conditions) Regulations, 2004 as amended in 2006 for undertaking transmission of electricity in the State of Maharashtra for the proposed 400 kV transmission lines. The Commission conducted the hearing of the matter on 16th October, 2008 and issued its Order on 8th February, 2009. The Commission observed that JPTL satisfied the technical, commercial and financial requirements to qualify for grant of license to undertake transmission license in accordance with MERC (Transmission License Conditions) Regulations, 2004 as amended in 2006.

### Application for Distribution Licenses

Following Application for providing Distribution Licenses in the State was received:

- M/s Ixora Constructions Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai for a Distribution License for distributing power within a SEZ coming up at Panvel. This application is at the processing stage.

## **DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT CELL**

### **Initiatives for Energy Auditing and Energy Efficiency Programmes**

During the year 2008-09, under the directions of the Commission, utilities developed and executed plans to implement load research and energy auditing activities for key consumers. Tata Power Company, Reliance Infrastructure and BEST Undertaking implemented various energy auditing schemes with prior approval of the Commission. The audits were conducted at the premises spread over a diverse consumer base comprising of industrial, commercial and common loads in the residential sectors.

The energy audits were conducted by utility-appointed auditors. During the last quarter of year 2008-09, Reliance Infrastructure completed 7 EA through external agencies and established annual potential saving of 0.6MUs. Further, many consumers' implemented recommendations made in the EA reports. All Distribution Licensees in Maharashtra initiated energy efficiency programmes at their own office building based on timely directives and advices by the Commission. Tata Power Company Limited also conducted the EA activity at consumer premises for their consumers in the HT II & LT II tariff categories, having sanctioned load of 100 KVA and above who showed willingness to participate in the programme.

The Commission also supported development of demand-side management bidding process by supporting walk-through audits and analysis of feeder load and energy consumption, and subsequent development of DSM bidding document by an appointed Consultant. The first-ever bidding process for a feeder in Mumbai was made possible for competitive bidding by Reliance Infrastructure.

### **The T-5 FTL Approach**

MERC had also given an in-principle clearance to TPC to demonstrate the efficiency of T-5 tube lights (T-5 FTL) as against the conventional T-8 tube lights. Through this scheme, TPC replaced 1700 tube lights of T-8 type with magnetic ballast with T-5 FTL along with electronics ballast at their own corporate office. After executing this programme successfully, to move further, Tata Power submitted the programme for replacement of 50000 numbers of

T-5 FTL in different tariff categories as LT I,II,III,IV & HT I II. The estimated saving potential of TPC programme was about 1.68 MU. Reliance Infrastructure too submitted a similar program of T-5 FTL targeted at LT-I ,II, & HTI tariff categories and a saving of 25 MU. BEST undertaking also sought approval of Energy Efficient Lighting Projects to the Commission. The project involved replacement of existing conventional chokes of FTL fixtures (5000 units) with electronics ballast in the government office buildings and 'Study of Incremental Saving Potential' due to combination of high lumen T-8 FTL and low ballast factor electronics ballast. As per the MERC approval, BEST undertaking also conducted a study for energy efficiency of high rise buildings water pumping in the Mumbai Urban area.

### **Workshops for DSM**

All the Mumbai utilities organised a week long knowledge sharing campaign at consumer door step between 15th and 19th December, 2008.

The Commission also conducted workshops related to the implementation of demand-side management, measurement and verification protocols in partnership with its Memorandum of Understanding partners - California Utilities Commission, California Public Utilities Commission and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The workshops were targeted at increasing awareness of energy efficiency and demand-side management initiatives.

### **Incentivised DSM Programmes**

In addition to specific programmes, the Commission encouraged the implementation of demand-side management initiatives by stating in the APR approvals the fact that the DSM related expenses be passed through the annual revenue requirements and by using the load management, charge fund available with the utilities. All the activities conducted during 2008-09 had a definite expansion plan for the subsequent years.

## **LOAD SHEDDING: NEW APPROACHES**

### **Rationalising Load Shedding in the State**

In view of MSEDCL's request for revision of the load shedding protocol, and increased gap in demand and supply of electricity, the matter was re-visited (Case No. 57 of 2008 dated, 2nd September, 2008; Case No. 77 and 78 of 2008, Order issued dated,

28th November, 2008) and the Commission issued due revisions accordingly. The Commission obtained the hourly data of demand, supply from different sources, planned load shedding, EHV opening, emergency EVH, grid frequency etc., for the period from 1st April, 2008 to 15th September, 2008, from SLDC. The Commission has analysed the SLDC hourly data to try and assess whether there is any justification for modifications undertaken by MSEDCL to the load shedding protocol, without the approval of the Commission. The Commission approved the load shedding matrices for different levels of demand-supply gap, which have been taken from MSEDCL's proposal in this regard in Case No. 72 of 2007, since the Commission does not find any rationale for deviating from these simulations. MSEDCL was directed to operate strictly within the limits defined under the different demand-supply scenarios.

#### **Approval of Reliability Charges and Zero Load Shedding in Baramati Town**

M/s. Baramati Vyapari Mahasangh Ltd. (BVML) submitted a Petition dated 2nd March, 2008 to the Commission on 24th March, 2008. MSEDCL also filed a Petition on 4th April, 2008, and sought approval of 'reliability charges' to be levied on consumers in Baramati Town to recover the expenditure on additional power purchase for ensuring continuous power supply/zero load shedding for Baramati town and nomination of BVML as Interim Franchisee for Baramati Town, in accordance with the Commission's directions issued under its Orders dated 13th March, 2008 in Case Nos. 51 of 2007 and 90 of 2007 and dated 31st March, 2007 in Case No. 101 of 2007. The Commission conducted the public hearing in the matter on 24th April, 2008. After due regulatory process, the Commission issued the Combined Order in both the matters on 8th May, 2008. The salient features of the Order included:

- There is no requirement under law for MSEDCL to seek any approval from the Commission for appointment of the Franchisee.
- Since there was an overwhelming support to MSEDCL's proposal to procure additional power from BILT to mitigate load shedding in Baramati town, the Commission accorded its acceptance to MSEDCL's proposal to mitigate load shedding in Baramati town and determined the Reliability Charges.

- The additional power procurement and the Reliability Charges would be applicable for a period of one year, from May, 2008 to April, 2009. The Reliability Charges were a part of the tariff, and would be payable in addition to the regular tariff components such as energy charge, fixed/demand charge, FAC, ASC, etc., as applicable.
- The Commission further stated that the Reliability Charges were to be levied on all consumers of Baramati Town for their entire consumption, excluding consumers consuming less than 100 units per month, and was determined as Rs. 0.48 per unit as compared to Rs. 0.97 per unit projected by MSEDCL in the Petition.
- The Commission ruled that in case of interruption in the power supply by BILT for a long time, such as annual maintenance shutdowns/outages, etc., load shedding would have to be undertaken, and grid support could not be provided by MSEDCL at the expense of consumers in other regions. For temporary interruption during the specified hours of supply due to breakdowns etc., (not exceeding 4 hours), grid support would be provided by MSEDCL to Baramati Town to mitigate the situation, and would be charged at Weighted Average System Marginal Price (WASMP) prevailing during the respective hours. MSEDCL would have to ensure that accurate records of such instances are maintained and correlated with the prevailing WASMP.

## **TARIFF ORDERS**

### **Tariff of Wind Energy Generators - Group II**

The Commission heard the Petition made by the REDAM on behalf of the wind energy generators for enhancing the tariff payable to the Group II generators after expiry of the validity of their existing power purchase agreements with the Distribution Licensees (Case No. 89 of 2007, 7th October, 2008; Case No. 58 of 2008, 10th December, 2008) and issued Orders for paying tariff of Rs. 2.52/kWh, for such eligible cases, as an interim measure.

### **Tariff of Biomass Energy Generators**

The Petition of Maharashtra Biomass Energy Developers Association for enhancement of tariff was heard by the Commission (Case No. 83 of 2008,

25th March, 2009) and an interim tariff of Rs.4.28/kWh was granted to Biomass power generating projects based on Rankine cycle.

#### **Complaint against Utilities Regarding Irrational Levies**

Complaints of consumers against Distribution Licensees in the State regarding levy of service line contribution charges were heard (Case No. 39 of 2008, 5th November, 2008) and the Commission issued an Order so that the affected consumers may, on the strength of the same, approach the Utility directly for refunds and in case of any problems, may approach the CGRF.

#### **MSPGCL's Petition for Approval of Operating Norms for Pumped Storage Stations and Tariff Determination of Ujjani and Paithan Pumped Storage Stations**

MSPGCL filed a Petition on 21st January, 2008, to the Commission seeking approval of Operating Norms for Pumped Storage Stations and Tariff Determination of Ujjani and Paithan Pumped Storage Stations under Sections 61 and 62 of the Electricity Act, 2003 (EA 2003). The hearing in the matter was held on 15th April, 2008, and after due regulatory process, the Commission issued its Order on 9th February, 2009. The Commission in its Order ruled that it would not be proper to specify uniform norms of operation for all the pumped storage stations and directed that any relaxed norm for any pumped storage station, vis-à-vis normal hydro generation stations, needed to be approved on case-to-case basis. The Commission further clarified that the norms for new station would be determined by the Commission while determining the tariff for the station after completion of the project and for existing stations, the Commission would approve the norms along with Annual Performance Review of MSPGCL each year. The Commission also directed MSPGCL to propose station-wise operating norms, Annual Fixed Charges and tariff for Ujjani and Paithan pumped storage stations in MSPGCL's Petition for APR of FY 2008-09 and Tariff Determination for FY 2009-10.

#### **Transmission Tariff for Intra-State Transmission System (In-STS) for FY 2008-09**

The Commission, vide its Order dated 31st May, 2008, determined the transmission tariff for Intra-State Transmission System (In-STS) for FY 2008-09, which would be valid for the second year of the

first Control Period under MYT regime with effect from 1st June, 2008, and would be valid till 31st March, 2009. The main features of the Order were:

- The Commission determined the Transmission Tariff for long-term open access transactions for FY 2008-09 as Rs. 150.37 per kW per month or Rs. 4944 per MW per day and Rs 1236.00 per MW per day or Rs 51.50 per MW per hour for short-term open Access Transactions.
- The Commission clarified that the usage, assignment and surrendering of un-utilised transmission capacity by any transmission system user shall be governed by Regulation 9 of MERC (Transmission Open Access Regulations) 2005.
- The Commission directed the State Transmission Utility (STU) to collect Transmission Tariff from transmission system users on a monthly basis at the end of each calendar month with first monthly period commencing from 1st June, 2008 and each transmission licensee could recover its approved ARR from Transmission Tariff collected by STU on a monthly basis at the end of each calendar month.
- The Commission clarified that shortfall in revenue of transmission licensee due to extension in validity of Transmission Tariff Order of FY 2007-08 would be considered at the time of true-up exercise during next year's Annual Performance Review of licensees.
- The Commission ruled that the monthly bill for transmission tariff for each calendar month should be payable on 14th day of subsequent calendar month. All transmission system users should ensure timely payment of Transmission Tariff to STU so as to enable STU to make timely settlement of claims raised by transmission licensees. In case of delay in payment by any Transmission System User (TSU), late payment surcharge at the rate of 1.25% per month or part thereof shall be applicable.

#### **BEST Annual Performance Review (APR) Petition for FY 2007-08 and tariff for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 6th June, 2008, determined the tariff for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of BEST for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 1st June, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The main features of the Order were:

- The Commission reviewed the performance of BEST as per the performance trajectory

stipulated by the Commission in MYT Order in accordance with the Tariff Regulations.

- The Commission ruled that it would not be appropriate to exempt any particular consumer or consumer category from increase in tariffs as the tariffs were designed in a manner to meet the total aggregate revenue requirement and to reduce the cross-subsidy amongst categories in a gradual manner.
- The Commission revised the approved non-tariff income for FY 2008-09 considering the actual non-tariff income in FY 2006-07, and the income for FY 2007-08, determined after provisional truing up.

#### **TPC's APR Petition for its Distribution Business for FY 2007-08 and Tariff for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 4th June, 2008, determined the tariff for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of TPC distribution business (TPC-D) for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 1st June, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission reviewed the performance of TPC-D as per the performance trajectory stipulated by the Commission in MYT Order in accordance with the Tariff Regulations.
- The Commission ensured that the categorisation of consumers and the applicability of tariff across different Distribution Licensees were uniform, though the tariffs were varying, depending on the revenue requirement and consumption mix.

#### **REL's APR Petition for its Distribution Business for FY 2007-08 and Tariff for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 4th June, 2008, determined the tariff for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of REL distribution business (REL-D) for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 1st June, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission reviewed the performance of REL-D as per the performance trajectory stipulated by the Commission in MYT Order in accordance with the Tariff Regulations. The Commission ensured that the categorisation of consumers and the applicability of tariff across different Distribution Licensees were uniform, though the tariffs were varying, depending on the revenue requirement and consumption mix.

- The Commission has created a new category, viz., HT-II Commercial, to cater to all commercial category consumers availing supply at HT voltages, and currently classified under the existing HT-II Industrial or LT-IX (multiplexes and shopping malls).
- The Commission has ensured that the HT tariff are lower than the LT tariffs, as the cost of supply is lower than the cost of supply at lower voltages, due to the lower losses at higher voltages, and the lower network related costs since the electricity does not have to stepped down to lower voltages.

#### **MSEDCL's APR Petition for FY 2007-08 and Tariff for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 20th June, 2008, determined the tariff for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of MSEDCL for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 1st June, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The main features of the Order were:

- The Commission reviewed the performance of MSEDCL as per the performance trajectory stipulated by the Commission in MYT Order in accordance with the Tariff Regulations. The Commission ensured that the categorisation of consumers and the applicability of tariff across different Distribution Licensees were uniform, though the tariffs were varying, depending on the revenue requirement and consumption mix.
- The surplus energy available based on the power procurement plan has been apportioned across all LT categories, in proportion to the sales of each category, as the surplus energy can be used to reduce the load shedding to these categories.
- The Commission, in line with its direction in the Order dated 2nd April, 2008 in Case Nos. 47 and 92 of 2007 on the Review Petition filed by MSEDCL on the issue of refund of Regulatory Liability Charges (RLC), has considered a refund of RLC of Rs. 500 crore in FY 2008-09 to be refunded to the specified consumer categories, out of the total amount of around Rs. 3227 crore collected by MSEDCL through RLC over the period from December, 2003 to September, 2006, which were like a loan given by these subsidising categories to help MSEDCL tide over the financial crisis due to its heavy distribution losses.

- The Commission has determined the tariffs in line with the tariff philosophy adopted by it in the past, and the provisions of law. The tariffs and tariff categorisation have been determined so that the cross-subsidy is reduced without subjecting any consumer category to a tariff shock, and also to consolidate the movement towards uniform tariff categorisation throughout the State of Maharashtra.
- MSEDCL should strive to ensure 100% metering of all consumption, including agricultural consumption, if not at the individual level, then at least at the feeder level and DTC level.
- The Commission has created a new category, viz., HT-II Commercial, to cater to all commercial category consumers availing supply at HT voltages, and are classified under the existing HT-I Industrial or LT-IX (multiplexes and shopping malls).
- The Commission has directed MSEDCL to adopt Demand Side Management Measures (DSM) and reduce the demand for power in its license area.
- The approved ceiling hours of load shedding for different divisions grouped under the above load shedding matrix, for the demand-supply gap of around 4500 MW.

#### **MSETCL APR Petition for FY 2007-08 and ARR for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 31st May, 2008, determined the ARR for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of MSETCL for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 1st June, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The main features of the Order were:

- The Commission reviewed the performance of MSETCL as per the performance trajectory stipulated by the Commission in MYT Order in accordance with the Tariff Regulations.
- The Commission clarified that the principles for separation between transmission network and distribution network (T<>D) have been well elaborated in the Commission's Transmission Pricing framework Order (Case No. 58 of 2005).
- Based on the load study conducted by M/s. Central Power Research Institute (CPRI), the Commission noted that there was a marginal variation in actual transmission loss as per the study and the transmission loss specified by the Commission.

#### **TPC's APR Petition for its Transmission Business for FY 2007-08 and ARR for FY 2008-09**

- The Commission determined the aggregate requirement of TPC-T for FY 2008-09, on the basis of trued up expenditure for FY 2006-07 and reviewed the performance in FY 2007-08, as per the trajectory stipulated by the Commission in MYT Order in accordance with the Tariff Regulations.
- The Commission approved the revised ARR for FY 2008-09.
- The Commission undertook truing up for FY 2006-07 based on actual data and computed the efficiency gain/loss and the sharing for FY 2006-07.

#### **REL's APR Petition for its Transmission Business for FY 2007-08 and ARR for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 26th May, 2008, determined the ARR for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of REL transmission business (REL-T) for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 1st June, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission recomputed the tax liability of REL-T for FY 2006-07 and issued the detailed computation related to computation of income tax as directed by the ATE (Appellate Tribunal for Electricity).
- The Commission sought reconciliation between REL's audited accounts vis-à-vis the expenditure and revenue considered in the APR Petition filed by REL-T.
- The Commission undertook truing up of the actual expenses in FY 2006-07 in relation to the expenses approved in the Tariff Order for FY 2006-07, subject to prudence check. The Commission determined the approved expenditure for FY 2008-09 by applying the appropriate growth rates on the provisionally trued up expenditure for FY 2007-08.

#### **REL's APR Petition for its Generation Business for FY 2007-08 and Tariff for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 21st April, 2008, determined the tariff for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of REL Generation business (REL-G) for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 21st April, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission sought the reconciliation between REL's audited accounts vis-à-vis the expenditure and revenue considered in the APR Petition filed by REL-G.
- The Commission for APR exercise considered capital expenditure and capitalisation of the DPR schemes that had already been approved by the Commission.

#### **TPC's APR Petition for its Generation Business for FY 2007-08 and Tariff for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 2nd April, 2008, determined the tariff for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of TPC Generation business (TPC-G) for FY 2007-08, applicable with effect from 1st April, 2008 to 31st March, 2009. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission sought the reconciliation between TPC's audited accounts vis-à-vis the expenditure and revenue considered in the APR Petition filed by TPC-G. The Commission for APR exercise considered capital expenditure and capitalisation of the DPR schemes that had already been approved by the Commission.
- The Commission allowed 5% of excess recovery of revenue from Hydel Stations on account of higher generation during peak hours to be shared between Generating Company and Distribution Licensees to optimise the hydel generation during peak hours.

#### **MSPGCL's APR Petition for FY 2007-08 and Tariff for FY 2008-09**

The Commission vide its Order dated 31st May, 2008, determined the tariff for FY 2008-09 and reviewed the annual performance of Maharashtra State Power Generation Company Limited (MSPGCL) for FY 2007-08, Applicable with effect from 1st June, 2008 to 31st March, 2009.

#### **MSPGCL's Petition Seeking 'In-Principle' Approval for Development of Machhakata & Mahanadi Coal Blocks by Mine Developer-Cum-Operator through Tender Route and Supply of Coal Against the Competitive Coal Mining Fees**

MSPGCL submitted a Petition on 3rd March, 2008 seeking in principle clearance with regard for Development of Machhakata & Mahanadi Coal Blocks by Mine Developer-Cum-Operator through Tender Route and Supply of Coal Against the Competitive Coal Mining Fees. In the Petition,

MSPGCL submitted that Mahaguj Collieries Ltd. was formed by MSPGCL and Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Ltd. ('GSECL') as a joint venture company as required by the Ministry of Coal, Government of India while allocating the aforesaid coal blocks to MSPGCL and GSECL jointly for captive mining. In terms of the allotment of the coal blocks, the coal so produced was required to be shared by MSPGCL and GSECL in the ratio of 60:40, respectively. The 60% coal produced should be used by MSPGCL for its expansion projects of Koradi and Chandrapur and replacement projects at Parli, Paras, Bhusaval and Koradi. 40% share should be used by GSECL for their power plants. The bid document submitted to the Commission was for the appointment of Mine Development Operator (to extract coal from the mine) since both MSPGCL and GSECL did not have the experience of mining. The admissibility hearing in the matter was held on 19th June, 2008. The Commission issued its Order dated 22nd August, 2008 and opined that the Commission is not the right authority to review and give approval, in-principle or otherwise to the draft bid document to be issued by Mahaguj Collieries Ltd., for selecting mine developer-cum-operator. The Commission also opined that it was not possible to predict the coal price at a future date when MSPGCL would file any tariff Petition.

#### **MSEDCL's Petition Seeking Approval to Procure Additional Power for Mitigating Load Shedding at Vashi Circle-Navi Mumbai and Thane Urban Circle, Levy of Reliability Charges and for Appointment of Interim Franchisee**

MSEDCL submitted a Petition on 22nd April, 2008 to the Commission seeking approval to procure additional power for mitigating load shedding at Vashi Circle-Navi Mumbai (Vashi, Nerul, Panvel) and Thane Urban Circle (Bhandup, Thane, Mulund, Wagle Estate, Kalwa excluding Mumbra and Kalwa sub-division) for the period May, 2008 to June, 2008 and October, 2008 to June, 2009. MSEDCL also sought approval for Reliability Charges to be recovered from consumers in these regions and also sought an in-principle approval for appointment of Interim Franchisee. The Commission issued the interim Order in the matter on 30th May, 2008 and stated that the revised Reliability charges as computed by MSEDCL appeared to be reasonable at 43 paise/kWh for Thane Circle and 35 paise/kWh for Vashi Circle, to be levied on all consumers except residential consumers consuming less than 100 units

per month. In the interim Order, the Commission also permitted MSEDCL to undertake the additional power procurement from 1st June, 2008 and to recover the expenditure on additional power purchase for ensuring continuous power supply/ zero load shedding at Vashi Circle-Navi Mumbai and Thane Urban Circle. The Commission further directed that MSEDCL through such Franchisee/ Interim Franchisee should be responsible for ensuring additional procurement/supply of power to the extent sufficient for mitigating load shedding in any given particular region. The Commission opined that the Reliability Charges were being made Applicable for all consumers of Thane Urban Circle and Vashi Circle-Navi Mumbai, excluding residential consumers consuming below 100 units per month as proposed by MSEDCL. The reliability charges as determined in this interim Order would be payable in addition to the regular tariff components such as energy charge, fixed/demand charge, FAC, ASC, etc., as applicable. The Commission further opined that the detailed Order would be issued in this regard. The Commission issued the Final Order in the matter on 23rd March, 2009. In the Final Order, the Commission directed that there would be no revision of the Reliability Charges to be levied on the consumers, as the levy of additional Reliability Charges or refund of excess recovery, if any, would depend on the reconciliation between the actual and values assumed at the time of issuing the Interim Order. The Commission also ruled that the Interim Order issued by the Commission on 30th May, 2008 in this matter, be considered as the Final Order in this matter.

#### **MSEDCL's Petition Seeking Approval for Appointment of Interim Franchisee and Approval of Reliability Charges to be Recovered from Pune Consumers**

MSEDCL submitted a Petition on 17th April, 2008, to the Commission which was revised and again submitted on 21st May, 2008 seeking approval for additional power purchase from TPCTL for mitigating load shedding in Pune Urban Circles for the period from 4th April, 2008 to 30th June, 2008 and from October, 2008 to May, 2009. MSEDCL also sought approval of Reliability Charges to be recovered from consumers in Pune Urban Circles and in-principle approval for appointment of The Tata Power Company Limited (TPC) as Interim Franchisee. The Public Hearing in the matter was held on 25th April, 2008. The Commission issued

the Order dated 20th June, 2008. The salient features of the Order were:

- There was no requirement under law for MSEDCL to seek any approval from the Commission for appointment of the Franchisee.
- The additional power procurement for the period October, 2008 to May, 2009 as proposed by MSEDCL for mitigating load shedding in Pune Urban Circles, was accepted, subject to the condition that MSEDCL should make all efforts to minimise the cost of additional power procurement through discussions with the Interim Franchisee/Trader (TPC, in this case), so that the burden of Reliability Charges would be minimised.
- The Commission opined that the Reliability Charges as a part of the tariff, would be payable in addition to the regular tariff components such as energy charge, fixed/demand charge, FAC etc., as applicable.
- The Commission calculated the effective Reliability Charges payable by consumers in Pune Urban Circle for the period from July, 2008 to May, 2009 as 47.80 paise / kWh.
- The Commission ruled that in case of interruption in the power supply by the Interim Franchisee for a long time, such as annual maintenance shutdowns/outages etc. of the generation source, load shedding would have to be undertaken, and grid support would not be provided by MSEDCL at the expense of consumers in other regions.

#### **M/s. Lavasa Corporation Limited's Petition Seeking Tariff Classification for Development of Hill Station**

M/s. Lavasa Corporation Ltd. (LCL) submitted a Petition on 25th June, 2008, seeking Tariff categorisation for Development of Hill Station pursuant to respective Government Resolution (GR) and Tourism Policy. The Commission conducted the hearing in the matter on 22nd July, 2008. After the due regulatory process, the Commission issued the Order on 19th December, 2008. The main features of the Order were:

- The Commission ruled that classification under Industry for tax purposes and other purposes by the Government of Maharashtra would apply to matters within its jurisdiction and had no bearing on the tariffs determined by the Commission under

the EA 2003, and the import of the categorisation under Industry under other specific laws could not be applied to seek relief under other statutes.

- The Commission ruled that the tariff category applicable for supply to LCL is HT Temporary, which had the same tariff as that approved for LT VII - Temporary (Others).
- The Commission did not accept the prayer related to stay of the recovery Bill dated 26th May, 2008 and notice dated 13th June, 2008 issued by MSEDCL under Section 126 of the EA 2003, as it was outside the jurisdiction of the Commission because an appeal from a Final Order made under Section 126 could only be preferred with the Appellate Authority appointed under Section 127.

## **ASSISTANCE OFFERED**

### **Application Processing Fee for Short-term Open Access**

The Commission heard the petition made by MSETCL and MSLDC (Case No. 134 of 2008, 23rd March, 2008) regarding introduction of application processing fee for Short-term Open Access applications, payable to the Nodal Agency. MERC approved a fee of Rs 5000, in line with fees charged by RLDC for similar services.

### **Facilitation Regarding ABT Metering and Balance Pool Settlement**

The Commission rendered due help in the form of co-ordination between the transmission licensees to expedite installation and commissioning of ABT metering system at G<>T and T<>D interface points as well as inter-utility interface points in the State and for debugging the Balance Pool Settlement Mechanism based on the metering system.

## **OTHER ORDERS**

### **MSEDCL's Petition Seeking Directions in Respect of EPA dated 2.9.2002 between MSEDCL and M/s. Purti Sakhar Karkhana Limited (PSKL)**

MSEDCL submitted a petition on 1st October, 2008 and sought the Commission's directions/clarifications as PSKL had brought to the MSEDCL's attention that adequate amount of bagasse was not available for generating power to supply to MSEDCL and hence, the EPA executed on 2.9.2002 could not operate. The Commission conducted the

hearing in the matter on 17th October, 2008 and after the due regulatory process, the Commission issued Order dated 6th January, 2009. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission opined that the Petitioner had sought guidance from the GOM and the matter would be left at that because the GOM was not a party to the EPA and hence, it could only give its 'guidance' on any issue arising out of the EPA.
- The Commission ruled that the GOM's guidance would not impinge on contractual rights and obligations between the Petitioner and the Respondent under the EPA and added that there was no provision for granting approval to the guidelines issued by the GOM as contended by the MSEDCL.
- The Commission stated that, as per the EPA, the tariff had been fixed taking into consideration the normal availability of non-fossil fuel for 240 days per annum.
- The Commission ruled that the EPA dated 2.9.2002 would only operate if the co-generation power plant used 'non-fossil fuel' and if 'non-fossil fuel' was not available, then the EPA dated 2.9.2002 would remain suspended till the time bagasse was available for 240 days per annum.

### **Petition Filed by Mahratta Chamber of Commerce, Industries and Agriculture Seeking Delimitation of MSEDCL's Area of License - Case No. 86 of 2007 (23rd May, 2008)**

Mahratta Chamber of Commerce, Industries and Agriculture (MCCIA) submitted a Petition on January, 2008 seeking a delimitation of MSEDCL's area of license.

- The Commission observed that the averments made under the Petition that there are grievances against the MSEDCL for its purported failure to give supply within the time lines contemplated under section 43 EA 2003. The Commission is of the view that such grievances should be submitted before the forum for redressal of grievances that has been constituted by MSEDCL under section 42 (5) of the EA 2003.

### **Providing Off-peak Hours Banking Facility for the CPP Power of Petitioner**

Sun-Flag Iron and Steel Company Ltd. (SISL) submitted a petition seeking banking facility for

the electricity generated from Captive Power Plant (CPP). The Commission issued its Order on 20th May, 2008 and directed both the parties to jointly examine each others records to determine the quantum of units injected by SISL into the grid. Commission instructed MSEDCL to obtain the details of prevailing grid frequency for different time blocks during the relevant period from SLDC. 100% credit to SISL was directed to be given for the energy banked with MSEDCL during the time slots in which the grid frequency was below 50 Hz.

#### **Petition of Shri. Pratap Hogade Regarding Illegal Levy of Service Line Contribution Charges and Refund Thereof to Consumers**

Shri. Pratap Hogade submitted a complaint against M/s. Reliance Energy Ltd. (REL) on 26th June, 2008 seeking investigation under Section 128 and ordering penalty under Section 142 of EA 2003. The Commission admitted the complaint and conducted the hearing on 13th August, 2008 and issued its Order on 5th November, 2008, and directed that the affected consumers should approach REL individually to seek refund of the appropriate amount in each case. In case of any further grievances, they could approach the concerned Consumer Grievance Redressal Forums (CGRF) to seek remedy.

#### **Approval of Additional Quantum of Long Term Power Procurement by MSEDCL through Competitive Bidding under Case-1 and Case-2**

MSEDCL submitted a petition on 18th September, 2007 and sought approval of additional quantum of 5200 MW of long-term power procurement through competitive bidding under Case-1 and Case-2. The Commission issued its directives in the matter vide its Order on 2nd May, 2008 and directed MSEDCL to submit a separate petition for approval of deviations in the bidding documents, if any, in accordance with the provisions of Competitive Bidding Guidelines.

#### **MSEDCL's Petition as per Direction of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench, on Financial Aspects/ Viability of the Bid Floated by MSEDCL in Relation to Appointment of Distribution Franchisee in Nagpur**

- In compliance with the directions issued by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench, vide its Judgment dated 12th February, 2008 in Writ Petition No. 3701 of 2007 along with Writ Petition Nos. 5100 of 2007 and 5855 of 2007,

MSEDCL submitted a petition on 21st February, 2008 before the Commission seeking recommendations/opinion on the different parameters considered in the bid document floated by MSEDCL in relation to selection and appointment of Distribution Franchisee for three divisions in Nagpur. The Hearing in the matter was held on 7th March, 2008. After due regulatory process, the Commission issued the Order on 7th May, 2008 and ruled that the expected additional revenue to MSEDCL over the period of the Franchisee Agreement was Rs. 2098.49 crore, which would be used to reduce the tariff for all the consumers and regions of MSEDCL's license area. Considering the time value of money by considering the discount factor of 11.10%, the present value of net additional revenue to MSEDCL on account of appointment of Distribution Franchisee worked out to Rs. 844 crore. In view of this analysis, the Commission found that the appointment of Distribution Franchisee for three divisions of Nagpur Urban Circle would result in net benefit to MSEDCL.

#### **MSPGCL Petition for Approval of Lease Rent of Hydel Stations owned by GoM Leased to MSPGCL for Operation and Maintenance**

- MSPGCL submitted a Petition on 25th May, 2007, to the Commission seeking approval for revision in lease rent for Hydro Electric Projects (HEPs) leased out to MSPGCL by the Government of Maharashtra.

The hearing in the matter was held on 18th July, 2007 and after due regulatory process, the Commission issued its directives vide its Order dated 27th October, 2008. In the Order, the Commission approved the payment of existing lease rent of Rs. 85 crore per year as a part of the ARR of MSEDCL/MSPGCL. Since MSPGCL sells its entire generation to MSEDCL, the approved ARR of MSPGCL is a pass through in the ARR of MSEDCL in the form of the power purchase cost for purchase from MSPGCL, thus eventually forming a part of the tariff of the consumers of MSEDCL. The Commission clarified that any revision in the lease rent payable by MSPGCL to GoM-WRD under a long-term Lease arrangement for 35 years would have a direct impact on the tariff payable by the consumers of MSEDCL, and hence, needs to be regulated by the Commission. Further, the Commission opined in its Order in the matter of Annual Performance Review

(APR) of MSPGCL for FY 2007-08 and Tariff for FY 2008-09, that any variation in lease rent as determined by the Commission would be considered at the time of truing up, depending on the date of effectiveness of the lease rent determined in the Order. Accordingly, the Commission had considered the Petition of MSPGCL for determination of lease rent for HEPs under Section 61 of EA, 2003 and, in particular, Section 61 (d) which stipulates 'safeguarding of consumer's interest and at the same time, recovery of the cost of electricity in a reasonable manner' and approved the lease rent for such HEPs handed over to MSPGCL by GoM. The Commission opined that the approach of computing the lease rent considering the CERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2004, was not appropriate, since the Commission was not determining tariff for the hydro projects here and was required to determine the lease rent, which was a different financial arrangement. The Commission ruled that the lease rent was purely a financial transaction and accordingly computed the lease rent from first principles of leasing, viz., the capital cost was recovered through depreciation over the life of the project, debt was serviced during the tenor of debt and the lessor gets adequate return on his investment. The Commission computed the lease rent payable for the life of the project by considering the interest expenses on the debt part of the project cost, return on equity on the equity employed in the project, life of the project and depreciation. The Commission directed MSPGCL to pay the project-wise approved lease rent as given in the in Annexure-I of the Order, each year to GoM, quarterly on a pro-rata basis, starting from June, 2009 from the quarter April to June, 2009 till the end of the project as shown therein.

**MSEDCL's Petition for Approval of Deviations Taken in Request for Proposal (RFP) Documents Issued to Bidders, from Standard Bidding Documents Issued by Ministry of Power, for Development of Dhopave Project Under International Competitive Bidding Process (Case-2)**

MSEDCL submitted a Petition on 23rd May, 2008, to the Commission seeking approval of deviations taken in Request for Proposal (RFP) documents issued to bidders, from Standard Bidding Documents issued by the Ministry of Power (MoP), for development of Dhopave Project under International Competitive Bidding Process, under

Case-2. In the Technical Validation Session, the Commission directed MSEDCL to submit the additional required information and suggested that the mechanism for treatment of the income tax liability in case the Government of India does not extend the income tax holiday for infrastructure projects under Section 80 IA of the Income Tax Act, should be clearly enunciated in the Bid Documents. The Public Hearing in the matter was held on 2nd September, 2008. After the due regulatory process, the Commission issued its Order dated 14th October, 2008. In the Order, the Commission accorded in-principle approval for the deviation of including the activities proposed by MSEDCL as Conditions Subsequent to be achieved by MSEDCL for optimisation of overall project schedule. The Commission further ruled that the time frame of 6 months from the Effective Date or 8 months from the date of issue of LOI for Conditions Subsequent to be met by MSEDCL instead of 12 months proposed by MSEDCL. The Commission also directed MSEDCL to expedite the process on best effort basis to get the right of way or land acquisition for pipelines from water sources, possession of land for project including jetty and environment clearance as soon as possible for timely and early commissioning of the Project. The Commission also approved the other deviations in the RFP documents proposed by MSEDCL either related to customisation in accordance with the Standard Bidding Documents or included for having more clarity with respect to interpretation of documents.

**BEST's Petition Seeking Revocation of License of M/s. Reliance Energy Ltd., for Distribution of Electricity in the Suburban Areas**

BEST submitted a Petition on 5th May, 2008, to the Commission under Section 19 and Section 24 of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking revocation of Distribution License of M/s. Reliance Energy Ltd., ('REL'), now renamed as Reliance Infrastructure Limited, for distribution of electricity in the suburban area. After due regulatory process, the Commission issued the Order on 20th October, 2008. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission was of the view that giving of break-up of distribution loss into technical & commercial losses was not a matter that should be considered at this stage for invoking Section 19 or Section 24 of the EA 2003.
- The Commission ruled that it would not be

justified to either revoke REL's license or to suspend the same on account of Distribution Losses and Collection Efficiency.

- The Commission observed that the Commission had notified the MERC (Specific Conditions of Distribution Licence applicable to Reliance Energy Limited) Regulations, 2008, on 20th August, 2008, wherein the Commission noted the change of name of Reliance Energy Limited to Reliance Infrastructure Limited consequent to the issue of fresh Certificate of Incorporation by the Registrar of Companies, Mumbai.

**MSEDCL's Petition for Approval of Deviations taken in Bidding Documents Issued to Bidders, for Procurement of 2000 MW Power on Long Term Basis Under International Competitive Bidding Process (Case-I)**

MSEDCL filed a Petition on 1st August, 2008, seeking approval of deviations taken in the Bidding Documents issued to bidders, for procurement of 2000 MW power on Long Term Basis under International Competitive Bidding Process (Case-I), i.e., LTPP-Stage II. After the due regulatory process, the Commission issued its directives vide its Order dated 14th November, 2008. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission had already approved the Bid Documents for procurement of 2000 MW under Stage-I of Case-I bidding process, in absence of notification of SBD by the Government of India for Case-I Projects. MSEDCL had taken further deviations from the bid documents approved by the Commission, during the RFQ stage for procurement of additional 2000 MW of power under Case-I route and approached the Commission for post-facto approval. The approach followed by MSEDCL was clearly against the provisions of the CBG notified by the Ministry of Power, Government of India, since the CBG had clearly specified that if the Procurer seeks any deviation from CBG or SBD, it had to intimate the Commission regarding the initiation of the bidding process and obtain prior approval for such deviations in the Bidding Documents from the Commission.
- The Commission rejected MSEDCL's petition for post-facto approval of the deviations taken during RFQ stage, as this was clearly against the provisions under the CBG.

**M/s. Yash Agro Energy Limited's Petition for Grant of Permission for Third Party Sale**

M/s Yash Agro Energy Ltd. submitted a Petition on 1st February, 2008 under Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act 2003, for grant of permission for third party sale. The Commission conducted the hearing on 4th March, 2008. After due regulatory process, the Commission issued its Order dated 6th May, 2008. The salient features of the Order were:

- The Commission ruled that the EA 2003 Act specifically empowered the Commission to adjudicate on disputes between generating companies and licensees in terms of Section 86(1)(f) thereof.
- The Commission ruled that there was nothing to preclude the Petitioner herein to establish dedicated transmission lines, and was in accordance with the Commission's ruling under its Previous Order dated 13th November, 2007 in Case No. 34 of 2007.
- The Commission directed that so long as the power plant of the Petitioner is a captive power plant (CPP), the Petitioner would not need to obtain license to sell surplus power to either any licensee or to any eligible consumer or person, whose premises were situated within the area of supply of MSEDCL.

**Petition for Approval of SLDC Budget for FY 2008-09**

The Maharashtra State Load Despatch Centre (MSLDC) filed a Petition on 31st December, 2007, seeking the Commission's approval for its budget for FY 2008-09, in accordance with Regulation 18.1 read with Regulation 18.2 of the MERC (Transmission Open Access) Regulations, 2005. The Commission issued the Order on 5th March, 2008. The salient features of the Order are:

- The Commission approved the operating cost budget including employee expenses, administration and general expenses, repairs and maintenance expenses, interest on working capital and RLDC fees and Charges.
- The Commission also approved Capital Charge budget including depreciation, interest and finance charges and return on equity.
- The Commission directed MSLDC to ensure implementation of Capex schemes on priority. The Commission directed MSLDC to submit

revised milestones/timeline for implementation of Capex schemes and also submit monthly progress report along with reasons for delay, if any, and remedial actions initiated for course correction.

- The Commission directed MSLDC to maintain appropriate Accounting Statements and Allocation Statements and submit audited Financial Statements alongwith SLDC Budget.

## CONSUMER ADVOCACY AND GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL MECHANISM

Under Sections 42(5) and 42(6) of the Electricity Act, 2003, the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forums (CGRFs), constituted by the Distribution Licensees, and, the Electricity Ombudsman appointed by the Commission, have been effectively functioning in the State.

In compliance with the MERC (Consumer Grievance Redressal and Electricity Ombudsman Regulations), 2006, as amended in 2007, the posts of Members of the Forums were filled up whenever any Member's term expires. The Members, who represented the Consumers, were nominated by the Commission to the Distribution Licensee. Work of the 'Internal Grievance Redressal Cells' formed by every Distribution Licensee, to cover smaller areas of Licensee's operations, and such first office to be contacted by the aggrieved consumer, was also being monitored.

During the year 2008-09, the 15 CGRFs in the state received 743 consumer grievances as compared to 483 during 2007-08, out of which 490 and 401 grievances were redressed by the Forums in the respective years. The increase in the number of grievances received by the Forums over the previous year showed that awareness within electricity consumers about their rights and availability of a platform to resolve their grievances was fast spreading.

### Compliance with the Act and Regulations

In pursuance with the State Grid Code, the following Codes were made by the Commission in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (zp) of Section 181 read along with clause (h) of Section 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003:

- **Scheduling and Despatch Code:** Defines roles and responsibilities of various users of the Intra-State Transmission system under the overall

supervision of the State Load Despatch Centre, modalities of flow of information between Load Despatch Centre and the System Users, real time dispatch/ drawal instructions as well as settlement mechanism for deviation of actual generation or drawal from the schedules.

- **The Connectivity Agreement and Bulk Power Transmission Agreement:** Defining the standard requirements of connection of the User's system, with the Intra-State Transmission System (InSTS) as well as a standardised format for long term Bulk Power Transmission Agreements between the InSTS as well as the Users of the InSTS, were finalised.
- **Metering Code:** The State Transmission Utility (STU) under the guidance of the Commission had developed a Metering Code which specifies the minimum requirements and Standards for Installation and operation of meters, for both commercial and operational purposes, to be provided by User or Transmission Licensee at the Connection Point to the Intra-State Transmission System (InSTS). Marathi translated version of the Code, which provided challenges due to technical terms used in the English format, was also issued during the year.

Against the Commission's Tariff Order for FY 2006-07 for MSPGCL, the State Generation Company, MSPGCL went to the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (ATE). Among other issues, on the point of performance parameters of the Thermal Generating Stations specified in the Tariff Order. The Hon'ble ATE directed the Commission to appoint an expert Agency to find out the 'achievable performance parameters' by the individual generating stations of MSPGCL. The Central Power Research Institute (CPRI), Bangalore, was assigned the work. The consultant has taken the work accordingly.

## ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN

The office of the 'Electricity Ombudsman' was constituted on 27th December, 2004 under Section 42(6) of the Electricity Act, 2003. The office started receiving representations from consumers who were aggrieved by non-redressal of their grievances under Section 42(5) of the Act.

During the first quarter of its operations, this office received 5 representations. The flow of representations gradually increased with time. The

total number of representations received during the financial year 2005-06, rose to 58. With increased consumer awareness, subsequent financial years witnessed a substantial rise in the representations. There were 84 representations arising out of Forums' Orders during the year 2006-07. The figure reached 82 during the year, 2007-08.

This year witnessed as many as 110 representations, under report of which 96 were decided during the year itself. Additionally, 14 representations of the previous year were also decided. Thus, a total of 110 representations were decided and Orders issued during the year 2008-09.

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum and Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006, stipulate that the consumer's representation should be decided within 60 days. As against this, average time required for deciding a representation was 31 days. The system indeed proves to be an efficient and inexpensive mechanism for expeditious redressal of consumers' grievances, as contemplated under the Electricity Act, 2003.

Analysis of the grievances received and decided by the Electricity Ombudsman, highlights that most of them relate broadly to categories like:

- Billing disputes
- Levy of penalty
- Errors in calculation of bills

- Billing during the period of stopped / defective meter
- Erroneous meter readings
- Testing of meters
- Recovery of arrears of previous occupier of the premises
- Disconnection of supply
- Delay in giving supply / additional load

Occasionally, the issues such as excess recovery of charges for giving new connection / additional load, interruptions, disconnection without proper notice etc., also agitated consumers.

With increased awareness, consumers started complaining about deficiency in services and about Distribution Licensee's failure to observe norms for rendering services and have claimed compensation on various counts. Several such matters had also been decided and in many cases, compensation had been awarded for deficiency in services, in accordance with the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Standards of Performance of Distribution Licensees, Period of Giving Supply & Determination of Compensation) Regulations, 2005.

Broadly, constitution of the office of the Electricity Ombudsman under the Electricity Act, 2003 (EA 2003) proved to be an alternative efficient remedy to the consumers for speedier, simple and inexpensive redress as envisaged under the Act.

Annexure I

LIST OF CASES FILED BEFORE COMMISSION DURING 2008-09

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
1.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd. (MSEDCL)	—	03.04.08	01 of 08	Petition seeking approval of Reliability Charges for ensuring continuous power supply / zero load shedding for Baramati Town and nomination of Baramati Vyapari Mahasangh Ltd. (BVML) as Interim Franchisee for Baramati Town
2.	Baramati Vyapari Mahasangh Ltd.	—	21.03.08	02 of 08	Petition seeking continuous power supply / zero load shedding model for Baramati Town and nomination of Baramati Vyapari Mahasangh Ltd. as Distributed Generation based Distribution Franchisee (DGBDF) for Baramati Town
3.	Maharashtra Electricity Consumers Association	MSEDCL Bhiwandi Circle	01.03.08	03 of 08	Petition seeking penal action against MSEDCL for charging of service connection for underground connection instead of charging of service charges for over head connection
4.	Ajanta Ltd.	MSEDCL	24.02.08	04 of 08	Complaint filed by M/s.Ajanta Limited under Sections 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003
5.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	—	16.04.08	05 of 08	Petition seeking approval for appointment of Interim Franchisee and approval of Reliability Charges to be recovered from Pune Consumers
6.	Reliance Energy Ltd.	TPC/BEST	17.04.08	06 of 08	Petition seeking directions from the Commission to Licensees under Section 23 of the EA, 2003
7.	Reliance Energy Ltd. (REL)	MSEDCL, TPC, BEST, SLDC	17.03.08	07 of 08	Clarification sought by REL, in the matter the composite transmission losses of InSTS which are to be borne by all Transmission System Users should be charged at Weighted Average Variable Cost (WAVC) and not at Weighted Average System Marginal Price as held by the Commission vide its Order dated 27.06.2008 in Case No. 58 of 2005
8.	Reliance Energy Ltd. (REL)	—	24.03.08	08 of 08	Approval of Power Purchase Arrangement between REL-Generation and REL-Distribution
9.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	TPC, REL, BEST	11.04.08	09 of 08	Petition seeking approval of the agreement for the Standby Support by MSEDCL to TPC, REL, and BEST
10.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	—	21.04.08	10 of 08	Petition seeking approval to procure additional power for mitigating load shedding in Vashi Circle-Navi Mumbai and Thane Urban Circle, levy of reliability charges and for appointment of Interim Franchisee
11.	Nishkalp Energy Ltd.	MSEDCL S.E. Bhiwandi	05.03.08	11 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 and 149 of the EA, 2003 against MSEDCL for non-payment of actual dues arising out of the Commission's Order dated 24.11.2003.
12.	Maharashtra Electric Consumers Association	Nodal Officer, MSEDCL Torrent Power Ltd. Bhiwandi	05.03.08	12 of 08	Petition filed by M/s Maharashtra Electricity Consumers Association for Non-compliance of interim order dated 25.01.2008 passed by CGRF Bhandup
13.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	MEDA, InWEA, REDAM, Cogeneration Association of Maharashtra	31.03.08	13 of 08	Application for reconsideration of the clauses of penalty under the Renewable Purchase Specification
14.	Shri Azharul Islam Mohd. Ibrahim Siddiqi	BEST	31.03.08	14 of 08	Restoration of electricity supply on installation / reconnection of a meter
15.	Shri Rashid Ahmed A.R. Mohmed	BEST	07.04.08	15 of 08	Release and / or reimbursement of amount paid to the vigilance dept. of the Respondents for tampering of meter and the order dated 08.02.2008, passed by the CGRF BEST.
16.	Maharashtra State Power Generation Co. Ltd.	As per directions of ATE	—	16 of 08	Invitation to Bid for Appointment of Agency to assess the achievable performance parameters at MSPGCL Thermal power plants

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
17.	Sangli District Powerloom Owners Association	MSEDCL, GoM, MRVGS Intervenor	29.03.08	17 of 08	Petition by Sangli District Powerloom Owners Association for review of the Order dated 18.5.2007 in Case 65 of 2006
18.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	—	01.04.08	18 of 08	MSEDCL's Petition for review of Order dated 16.2.2008 in Case No. 56 of 2007
19.	Vidarbha Industries Association	MSEDCL	28.04.08	19 of 08	Petition by Vidarbha Industries Association seeking clarification of Order dated 7.02.2007 in Case 59 of 2006
20.	Shri Shahabuddin Aamin Magdum	MSEDCL	19.04.08	20 of 08	Complaint by Shri Shahabuddin Aamin Magdum alleging non-compliance by MSEDCL of CGRF (Kolhapur) Order dated 6.9.2007
21.	Ban-Bro Metals Pvt. Ltd.	MSEDCL	30.04.08	21 of 08	Petition of Ban Bro Metals Pvt Ltd. For review of Clarificatory Order dated 17.12.2007 to the extent of applicability of ASC and determination of Benchmark consumption
22.	Maharashtra State Power Generation Co. Ltd.	—	03.03.08	22 of 08	MSPGCL's Petition for in-principle approval for development of Machhakata & Mahanadi Coal Blocks by Mine Developer-cum-operator through tender route and supply of coal against the competitive coal mining fees.
23.	Maharashtra Biomass Energy Developers Association (MBEDA)	—	24.12.07	23 of 08	Petition of Maharashtra Biomass Energy Developers Association for review of Order dated 8.8.2005 and 19.7.2006 in Case No. 37 of 2003
24.	BEST Undertaking.	REL	05.05.08	24 of 08	Application for revocation of license of REL for distribution of electricity in Suburban area.
25.	Yash Agro Energy Ltd.	MSEDCL, MSETCL MSLDC	27.05.08	25 of 08	Dispute under Section 86(1), (b), (c), (e) and (f) of the EA, 2003, read with Clause 15.2 of EPA dated 25.10.2004.
26.	Maharashtra State Power Generation Co. Ltd.	—	23.05.08	26 of 08	Petition for Tariff determination of Parli Unit No. 6 for the year 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 in Multi Year Tariff of MSPGCL for the Control Period FY 2007-08 to FY 2009-10.
27.	Dodson Limdbloom Hydro Power Pvt. Ltd.	—	27.05.08	27 of 08	Determination of 'revise tariff' as the Nilwande Dam has reached the height of 610 / 613 and BHEP-II has been changed to peaking plant.
28.	Yash Agro Energy Ltd.	MSEDCL, MSETCL MSLDC	02.06.08	28 of 08	Non-compliance of the Commission's Order dated 06.05.2008, passed in Case No. 93 of 2007.
29.	Tata Power Co. Ltd.	—	16.05.08	29 of 08	Seeking review of the Commission's Order dated 02.04.2008, passed in Case No. 68 of 2007, in the matter of TPC – G's APR Petition, so far as the Annual Fixed Charges as allocated by the Commission amongst the thermal and hydro plants of TPC.
30.	Sagareswar Sahakari Soot Girni Ltd.	MSEDCL	14.05.08	30 of 08	Seeking review of Clarificatory Order dated 17.12.2007 to the extent of applicability of ASC and determination of Bench mark consumption.
31.	Hotel & Restaurant Association - Western India Region.	MSEDCL	23.05.08	31 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 of the EA, 2003 for non-implementation and intentional misinterpretation of MSEDCL Tariff Orders dated 18.05.2007 and 20.10.2006.
32.	Maharashtra Electricity Consumers Association	MSEDCL	03.06.08	32 of 08	Complaint filed by Maharashtra Electricity Consumers Association for filling up post of Member Secretary at MSEDCL's CGRF at Bhandup Zone.
33.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	—	23.05.08	33 of 08	For approval of deviations taken in RFP project documents from Standard Bidding Document issued by MoP for Competitive bidding process under Case-II Bidding
34.	Lavasa Corpn. Limited	MSEDCL, State of Mah.	18.06.08	34 of 08	For Tariff Classification of Development of Hill Station by MSEDCL.
35.	Century Rayon	MEDA, MSEDCL	11.04.08	35 of 08	Petition of M/s Century Rayon for Clarification arising out of RPS Order dated 16.08.2006 in Case No. 6 of 2006.

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
36.	Kendriya Vihar Co-Operative Housing Federation Ltd.	MSEDCL	24.06.08	36 of 08	Refund of SLC and to treat the ORC Scheme as null and void.
37.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	Purti Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.	14.03.08	37 of 08	Seeking approval for claims for loss on account of willful breach of Energy Purchase Agreement by M/s. Purti Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.
38.	Tata Motors Ltd.	MSEDCL	29.01.08	38 of 08	Seeking adjudication of dispute arising due to non-payment / inappropriate payments by MSEDCL to the developers of Wind Power Energy.
39.	Shri Pratap G. Hogade	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd.	19.06.08	39 of 08	Refund of SLC collected from the new consumers during the period from October 2004 to 1st November 2006 and action under Sections 128 and 142 of the EA, 2003
40.	Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd.	—	07.07.08	40 of 08	Petition for Review of Order dated 31.5.2008 in Case No. 70 of 2007
41.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	—	07.03.08	41 of 08	Seeking amendment of the Supply Code in relation to enhancement of Security Deposit.
42.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	21.07.08	42 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007
43.	Tata Power Co. Ltd.	—	07.03.08	43 of 08	Seeking review on the sharing of Gains and Losses presented in the Summary Table of the Order dated 26.05.2008 in Case No. 67 of 2007
44.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd.	—	05.07.08	44 of 08	Application for clarification in the APR and ARR Order dated 20.6.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2008
45.	BEST Undertaking	—	29.04.08	45 of 08	Seeking approval of Expression of Interest Bidding Procedure followed to procure Renewable Energy from eligible sources inside Maharashtra as Competitive Bidding Process.
46.	Tata Power Co. Ltd.	—	16.07.08	46 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 04.06.2008 in Case No. 69 of 2007.
47.	Jat Taluka Vidyut Dharak Association	MSEDCL	13.07.08	47 of 08	Regarding filling up the post of Chairman, CGRF, MSEDCL Kolhapur Zone lying vacant for more than 3 months and (ii) initiating proceedings under Section 142 of EA, 2003 against MSEDCL, if they do not comply with the Commission's Order for filling up of the vacancy
48.	Rake Power Ltd.	MSEDCL, MSLDC	09.07.08	48 of 08	Seeking grant of compensation, permission for engagement of Third Party Sale and grant of Open Access
49.	Pioneer Distilleries Ltd.	MSEDCL	09.07.08	49 of 08	Seeking adjudication of dispute with MSEDCL reg. refusal of MSEDCL to grant permission for laying of 33 kV line for connecting the generating plant with the sub-station
50.	Mumbai International Airport Pvt. Ltd.	Reliance Energy Limited	18.07.08	50 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 04.06.2008 in Case No. 66 of 2007
51.	Ispat Industries Ltd.	MSEDCL	25.07.08	51 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007.
52.	Square Engineering Pvt. Ltd	—	15.07.08	52 of 08	Determination of tariff and RPS Framework for sale of power from concentrated Solar Photo Voltaic based grid connected power plants in Maharashtra
53.	Shri Tribhuvan Faujdar Yadav	MSEDCL	25.07.08	53 of 08	Non-compliance of Order dated 14.02.2008 passed by the CGRF, MSEDCL, Kalyan Zone
54.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	02.08.08	54 of 08	Seeking approval for adoption of the tariff for Long Term Power Procurement under Case 1 Bidding
55.	Shri Mahesh Karia & Ors.	MSEDCL	28.07.08	55 of 08	Restarting irrational and illegal Load Shedding imposed in Mulund-Bhandup-Kanjur Marg area in mid July and illegal scrapping of zero based Load Shedding Model
56.	Dr. Kirit Somaiya	MSEDCL	28.07.08	56 of 08	Restarting irrational and illegal Load Shedding imposed in Mulund-Bhandup-Kanjur Marg area in mid July and illegal scrapping of zero based Load Shedding Model

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
57.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	05.08.08	57 of 08	Regarding increase in Load Shedding hours as a temporary measure.
58.	Renewable Energy Developers Association of Maharashtra (REDAM)	MSEDCL	31.07.08	58 of 08	Seeking directives from the Commission against refusal of MSEDCL to make any payment to the wind farm developers of Group II category for the energy that is being fed to the MSEDCL Grid after the date of expiry of the EPAs.
59.	BEST Undertaking	—	08.08.08	59 of 08	To allow BEST to (i) recover the "Under recovered FAC" for the period October 2007 to March 2008 from its consumers; (ii) increase in the Cap on FAC Charges from 10% to 20% for the fiscal year 2008-09; and (iii) allow BEST to recover actual FAC.
60.	Shri Haleem Mohammed Hanif Khan	MSEDCL, GoM	08.08.08	60 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007.
61.	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	01.08.08	61 of 08	Seeking approval of deviations taken in bidding documents issued to bidders, for procurement of power on Long Term Basis under International Competitive Bidding Process (Case-I).
62.	Maharashtra Rajya Veej Grahak Sanghatana	MSEDCL, GoM	01.08.08	62 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007.
63.	Maharashtra Rajya Veej Grahak Sanghatana	MSEDCL	02.08.08	63 of 08	Seeking Clarification of the applicability of FAC passed in Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007.
64.	Akhil Bhartiya Grahak Panchayat	MSEDCL	05.08.08	64 of 08	Seeking directives against unlawful collection of excess demand penalty by MSEDCL, Latur Zone.
65.	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd	—	08.08.08	65 of 08	Seeking approval for upward revision in the FAC cap or completely remove the same, so as to prevent significant under-recovery of FAC in FY 09 resulting in tariff shock to consumers in FY 10 and protect the financial viability of the Petitioner
66.	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd	—	18.08.08	66 of 08	Seeking approval of Short Term Power Purchase from various sources for July, August and September 2008
67.	BF Utilities Ltd.	MSEDCL	30.07.08	67 of 08	Seeking clarification of the Commission's Order dated 20.11.2007 passed in Case No. 33 of 2007, determining Open Access Charges on Wind Energy Projects.
68.	Renewable Energy Developers Association of Maharashtra.	MSEDCL	31.07.08	68 of 08	Seeking review of (i) Order dated 20.07.2008 in Case No. 33 of 2007; (ii) Order dated 02.04.2007 in Case No. 86 of 2006; (iii) Order dated 31.05.2008 in Case No. 104 of 2007 and (iv) all MYT Orders determining Open Access on Wind Energy Projects.
69.	Association of Hospitals in Pune	—	01.08.08	69 of 08	Seeking review of MSEDCL Order dated 20th June, 2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 in the matter of determination of Tariff for MSEDCL for the FY 2008-09, with respect to re-categorisation of Public Trust Hospitals
70.	Tata Power Co. Ltd.	—	27.08.08	70 of 08	Seeking directives of the Commission for removal/relaxation of Fuel Adjustment Charge (FAC) cap applicable to the consumers of TPC-Distribution.
71.	BF Utilities	MSEDCL, MSETCL/STU, MSLDC	04.09.08	71 of 08	Seeking adjudication of dispute against MSEDCL regarding grant of non-discriminatory open access
72.	Maharashtra Paper & Board Mfgrs. Assn.	MSEDCL	30.08.08	72 of 08	Regarding breach of tariff Order dated 10.03.2004 in Case No. 2 of 2003 by collecting excess amount of Regulatory Liability Charge
73.	Shri Ramesh Eknath Manjule	MSEDCL, Kalyan	13.02.08	73 of 08	Regarding Non-compliance of order dated 26.07.2007 passed by the CGRF, Kalyan Zone
74.	Kolhapur Zilla Sahakari Pani Purvatha	MSEDCL	08.09.08	74 of 08	Seeking clarification of the applicability of FAC passed in Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 in the matter of APR of MSEDCL and for determination of tariff for FY 2008-09.

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
75	Maharashtra. Rajya Irrigation Federation.	MSEDCL	08.09.08	75 of 08	Seeking clarification of the applicability of the FAC passed in the Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 in the matter of APR of MSEDCL and determination of tariff for FY 2008-09.
76	Shri Vishwas Maruti Patil	CE/SE/EE MSEDCL Kolhapur	02.09.08	76 of 08	Regarding non-compliance of (i) the Electricity Ombudsman's Order dated 12th March, 2007 (Rep. No. 11 of 2007) and (ii) Order dated 31st October, 2006 passed by the CGRF, MSEDCL Kolhapur Zone.
77	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	10.09.08	77 of 08	Seeking approval for revision of the Principles and Protocols of Load Shedding hours in the State of Maharashtra
78	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	10.09.08	78 of 08	Seeking approval for revision of the Principles and Protocols of Load Shedding hours in the State of Maharashtra to be implemented from 2nd September 2008 on a temporary basis.
79	Shri Haribhau Digambar K.	CGRF Kolhapur	07.09.08	79 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 of the EA, 2003 against Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, MSEDCL Kolhapur Zone for violation of Regulation 6.16 of the MERC (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006
80	Bajaj Finserv	MSEDCL	08.09.08	80 of 08	Seeking clarification of the Order dated 20th November, 2007 passed in Case No. 33 of 2007 in the matter of MSEDCL's Petition seeking directives in respect of issues connected with procurement of wind energy from Group II category wind energy projects post the expiry of 8 years from the date of commissioning of the said projects
81	R.S. Associates	REL	13.08.08	81 of 08	Seeking review/ clarification of the Order dated 24th April, 2007 in Case No. 75 of 2006 in the matter of REL's Distribution Business ARR for and Retail Tariff for FY 2007-08
82	Thane Small Scale Industries Association	MSEDCL	23.09.08	82 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 and 146 of the EA 2003 against MSEDCL for indiscriminate increase in load shedding hours and MSEDCL's demand for supply of additional energy of 7.2 MUs/ day against original demand of 4.8 MUs for ensuring zero load shedding in Thane, Navi Mumbai, Vashi and Pune circles
83	Maharashtra Biomass Energy Developers Association.	Not mentioned	22.09.08	83 of 08	Review of the variable charge component of the COG fixed by MERC for procurement of power from biomass based power generators vide MERC's orders dated 08.08.2005, in case No. 37 of 2003 and 19.07.2006, in Case No. 37 of 2005.
84	Eurotex Ind. & Exports Ltd.	MSEDCL	23.09.08	84 of 08	Petition for reduction of Contract Demand of the Petitioner at its plant E-1 MIDC, Gokul Shirgaon, Kolhapur from 900 KVA to 100 and directions by the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay vide its Order dated 10.09.2008.
85	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	Purti Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.	30.09.08	85 of 08	Petition seeking directions in respect of the EPA dated 2nd September, 2002 between MSEDCL and Purti Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.
86	Prayas Sajag Nagrik Manch	MSEDCL	13.10.08	86 of 08	Seeking direction to MSEDCL to restrict indiscriminate increase in load shedding hours by MSEDCL and MSEDCL's demand for supply of additional energy of 7.6 MUs / day against original demand of 4.8 MUs for ensuring zero load shedding in Thane, Navi Mumbai, Vashi and Pune circles

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
87	Jain Sweets & Bhelpuri House	REL, Indian Hotel & Restaurant Assn	06.10.08	87 of 08	Seeking review of the Commission's Order dated 04.06.2008, passed in Case No. 66 of 2007, in the matter of REL-D's Petition for APR, for FY 2007-08 and Tariff Determination for FY 2008-09.
88	Kay Power & Paper Ltd.	MSEDCL	11.10.08	88 of 08	Seeking review of the Order dated 16.08.2002, in the matter of MSEDCL's application for purchase of power from Bagasse based Co-generation Projects and in the matter of aiding the State Government in formulation of policy
89	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd	Bajaj Finserv & Consumer Representatives	10.10.08	89 of 08	Seeking review of the Order dated 16.08.2002, in the matter of MSEDCL's application for purchase of power from Bagasse based Co-generation Projects and in the matter of aiding the State Government in formulation of policy
90	Maharashtra Rajya Irrigation Federation.	MSEDCL	25.09.08	90 of 08	Non-compliance of the Commission's Order with respect to the continuous supply for 16 hrs. to the Lift Irrigation Scheme and 12 hrs. to the Agricultural consumers
91	Maharashtra. Rajya Veej Grahak Sanghatana	MSEDCL	16.10.08	91 of 08	Regarding non-compliance of the Order with respect to the Principles and Protocols of Load Shedding and for imposing additional load shedding on the industrial and agricultural consumers.
92	Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd.	—	20.10.08	92 of 08	Seeking amendment in processing fee for application of open access consumers and short term Open Access Charges
93	Akhil Bhartiya Grahak Panchayat	Director (F), CE, CGM (IA), MSEDCL	16.10.08	93 of 08	Petition under Section 142 & 146 of the EA, 2003, for non-compliance of the Regulations of the Commission and the EA, 2003
94	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd	—	22.10.08	94 of 08	1) Approval of proposed quantum of power for Medium Term Power Procurement & Long Term Power Procurement through Competitive Bidding Route via Case-1 2) Approval of the Bid Documents for Medium Term Power Procurement through Tariff based Competitive process via Case 1.
95	Maharashtra State Power Generation Co. Ltd.	—	24.10.08	95 of 08	Petition for the determination of Tariff for the New Paras Unit No. 1 for the year 2008-09 and 2009-10 in MYT of MSPGCL for the Control Period FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-10
96	Jaigad Power Transco Ltd.	—	26.05.08	96 of 08	Application for grant of Transmission License in Maharashtra for setting up transmission network to evacuate power from the proposed 1200 MW Jaigad Thermal Power station being set up by JSW Energy (Ratnagiri)
97	Shri Suresh W. Patil	MSPGCL	26.09.08	97 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 of the EA, 2003, for non-compliance of the Commission's Order with respect to the lifting of ban on recruitment of employees of Class-III and IV of MSPGCL
98	Kolhapur Engg. Association	MSEDCL	07.11.08	98 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 of the EA, 2003, for non-compliance of the Commission's Order dated 02.09.2008, in the matter of Petition of MSEDCL seeking increase in load shedding hours as a temporary measure.
99	Krishna Valley Chamber of Commerce	MSEDCL	08.11.08	99 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 and 146 of the EA, 2003, for non-compliance of the Commission's Order dated 02.09.2008, in the matter of Petition of MSEDCL seeking increase in load shedding hours as a temporary measure.
100	Suo Motu	—	18.02.2009	100 of 08	Determination of Tariff for Solar based Power Generation Projects within Maharashtra.
101	BF Utilities	MSEDCL	18.10.08	101 of 08	Regarding release of Credit Notes for Wind Farm projects.

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
102	Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd	—	21.11.08	102 of 08	Petition for review of Regulations relating to Fuel Adjustments Cost Formula as outlined in the MERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2005
103	Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd	—	24.11.08	103 of 08	Seeking approval for the levy of FAC proportionate to the base tariff
104	Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd	MEDA/ InWEA/ REDAM/ Co Gen/ MBDA	17.11.08	104 of 08	Seeking review of the (i) review of Penalty Clause 2.10.7 of the Renewable Purchase Specification (RPS) Order dated 16.08.2006 and (ii) waiver of penalty as per Clause 2.6.12 of the RPS Order dated 16.08.2006 in Case No. 6 of 2006 and MERC Order dated 15.09.2008.
105	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	MSPGCL	21.11.08	105 of 08	Seeking approval of the draft Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) between MSEDCL and Maharashtra State Power Generation Company Ltd. (MSPGCL) for the sale and purchase of power from the proposed 2x500 MW Bhusawal Thermal Power Station (TPS) expansion project
106	Shri Sonumal Sunil Kumar	MSEDCL, Torrent Power	10.11.08	106 of 08	Regarding Non-compliance of Order dated 5.04.2008 passed by the CGRF Bhandup Urban Zone
107	Hotel Sangam	MSEDCL, Aurangabad	18.11.08	107 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 142 of the Electricity Act (EA), 2003 for Non-compliance of Order dated 21.09.2007 passed by the CGRF Aurangabad Zone against Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. (MSEDCL) officials.
108	Tarapur Indl. Mfgs. Assn.	MSEDCL	06.11.08	108 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 86(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for procurement of power equivalent to load shedding power in MIDC Tarapur Industrial Area, for smooth running of the industrial units,
109	Tata Power Company Ltd.	—	30.10.08	109 of 08	Seeking approval of purchase of power by TPC-D for Q2 and Q3 2008 as per Regulation 25 of the MERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2005
110	Maharashtra . Jeevan Pradhikaran	MSEDCL	02.12.08	110 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 31.05.2008 passed in Case No. 72 of 2007 in the matter of MSEDCL APR for FY 2007-08 and determination of ARR and tariff for FY 2008-09
111	Tata Power Co. Ltd. -Generation	—	26.11.08	111 of 08	Approval of APR for FY 2008-09 and Tariff Proposal for FY 2009-10 for TPC's Generation business
112	Tata Power Co. Ltd. Transmission	—	26.11.08	112 of 08	Approval of APR for FY 2008-09 and Tariff Proposal for FY 2009-10 for TPC's Transmission business
113	Tata Power Co. Ltd-Distribution	—	04.12.08	113 of 08	Approval of APR for FY 2008-09 and Tariff Proposal for FY 2009-10 for TPC's Distribution business
114	Maharashtra State Transmission Co. Ltd.	—	27.11.08	114 of 08	Approval of APR for the Year FY 2008-09, truing up for FY 2007-08 and ARR for FY 2009-10 of the first control period commencing from April 1, 2007 to March 31, 2010 under MYT Framework
115	Maharashtra State Power Generation Co. Ltd	—	08.12.08	115 of 08	Approval of APR for FY 2008-09 under MYT framework, truing up for FY 2007-08 and determination of tariff for existing stations for FY 2009-10.
116	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	08.12.08	116 of 08	Approval of APR for FY 2008-09 and ARR and Tariff for FY 2009-10, under the first Control Period of the Multi Year Tariff (MYT) FY 2007-08 to FY 2009-10
117	Maharashtra State Load Dispatch Centre (MSLDC)	—	27.11.08	117 of 08	Approval of Budget for FY 2009-10 under Regulation 18.1 of the MERC (Transmission Open Access) Regulations, 2005.
118	BEST Undertaking	—	10.12.08	118 of 08	Approval of Truing Up of accounts for FY 2007-08, Annual Performance Review for FY 2008-09 and revised projected ARR for FY 2009-10.

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
119	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd Transmission	—	27.11.08	119 of 08	Approval of APR for the purpose of truing up of FY08 ARR, mid-year review of FY 09 APR and for revised estimates of FY 10 ARR for tariff determination for Rlnfra–Transmission Business.
120	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd Generation	—	27.11.08	120 of 08	Approval of APR for the purpose of truing up of FY08 ARR, mid-year review of FY 09 APR and for revised estimates of FY 10 ARR for tariff determination for Rlnfra–Generation Business
121	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd Distribution	—	11.12.08	121 of 08	Approval of APR for the purpose of truing up of FY08 ARR, mid-year review of FY 09 APR and for revised estimates of FY 10 ARR for tariff determination for Rlnfra–Distribution Business.
122	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd	MEDA	22.12.08	122 of 08	Seeking waiver of the minimum targets of Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) for the years 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10, specified by the Commission vide its Order dated 16.08.2006, and, to set aside the demands made Maharashtra Energy Development Agency (MEDA)
123	Co-gen Association of India	MSEDCL	04.11.08	123 of 08	Seeking directives under Section 94(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for review of the tariff rate and tariff structure for Bagasse based Grid Connection Co-generation Projects.
124	Maharashtra State Electric Power Trading Co Ltd	—	16.12.08	124 of 08	Application for Grant of an Intra-State Trading License
125	BEST Undertaking	MEDA	18.11.08	125 of 08	Seeking waiver of minimum targets of 4% Renewable Purchase Specification (RPS) for FY 2007-08 and to condone the enforcement charges imposed by M/s Maharashtra Energy Development Agency (MEDA),
126	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	—	14.01.09	126 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 14.11.2008 passed in Case No. 61 of 2008 for Approval of deviations taken in Bidding Documents issued to bidders, for procurement of 2000 MW power on Long Term Basis under International Competitive Bidding Process (Case I).
127	BEST Undertaking	—	24.12.08	127 of 08	Seeking approval for quantum of power for long term power procurement by BEST Undertaking through Competitive Bidding Process
128	Association of Hospitals	REL	16.12.08	128 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 4th June, 2008 in Case No. 66 of 2007 with respect to the re-classification of the tariff category of the Public Charitable Trust Hospitals and placing them under Commercial category
129	Association of Hospitals	MSEDCL	16.12.08	129 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 20th June, 2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 with respect to the re-classification of the tariff category of the Public Charitable Trust Hospitals and placing them under Commercial category
130	Association of Hospitals	BEST	16.12.08	130 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 6th June, 2008 in Case No. 73 of 2007 with respect to the re-classification of the tariff category of the Public Charitable Trust Hospitals and placing them under Commercial category
131	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	Not mentioned	20.01.09	131 of 08	seeking approval of Standard Bidding Documents for procurement of power on Long Term Basis under International Competitive Bidding Process – Case I (Single Stage Process).
132	Mahatma Gandhi Mission's New Bombay Hospital, Vashi	MSEDCL	29.01.09	132 of 08	Seeking review of Order dated 31st May, 2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 with respect to the re-classification of the tariff category from HT-1 (Non continuous) to HT-II.

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
133	The Mula-Pravara Electric Co-Operative Society Ltd	N/a	29.01.09	133 of 08	Approval of truing up for ARR for FY 2006-07 and Petition for ARR of FY 2007-08 and 2008-09 and MYT Petition for the Control Period FY 2009-10 to FY 2011-12
134	Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd.	Not mentioned	28.01.09	134 of 08	Seeking approval for enhancement in transmission charges for Short Term Open Access consumers
135	Teleflo Instruments Co. Pvt Ltd.	Reliance Infra	16.10.08	135 of 08	Appeal against Order of the Electricity Ombudsman Order dated 16.09.2008.
136	Pudumjee Pulp & Paper Mills Ltd.	MEDA	02.02.09	136 of 08	Seeking directives of the Commission to comply Renewable Purchase Specification (RPS) cumulatively for the period 2007-08 till 2009-10.
137	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd.	Not mentioned	02.02.09	137 of 08	Seeking approval of (i) the proposed quantum of power (1000 MW) for Long Term Power Procurement through Competitive Bidding Route via Case-I, and (ii) the Bid Documents for Long Term Power Procurement through Tariff based Competitive Bidding Process via Case-I
138	Adani Power Maharashtra Ltd.	Not mentioned	02.02.09	138 of 08	For grant of Transmission Licence under Section 14 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for development of Transmission System (400 kV D/C Tiroda to Koradi and Tiroda to Warora transmission lines along with associated substation bays) for evacuation of power from 1980 MW Tiroda Adani Power Maharashtra Ltd.'s Project
139	Chamber of Marathwada Industries & Agriculture	MSEDCL	08.02.09	139 of 08	Regarding non-compliance of the directions of the Commission in its Orders dated 31.05.2008 and 20.06.2008 by MSEDCL with respect to the refund of excess recovery of Additional Supply Charges
140	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	N/a	17.02.09	140 of 08	Approval for implementing zero Load Shedding model for the area covered under Nagpur Urban Circle and for approval of Reliability Charges to be recovered thereof
141	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	N/a	17.02.09	141 of 08	Approval for implementing Zero Load Shedding model for the area covered under Amravati City and for approval of Reliability Charges to be recovered thereof.
142	Ixora Construction Pvt Ltd.	Not mentioned	11.02.09	142 of 08	For grant of Distribution License to the Petitioner for carrying on the business of electricity supply to end users using own distribution system in Petitioner's SEZ area at Panvel
143	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	N/a	20.02.09	143 of 08	For implementing Zero Load Shedding model for the area covered under Pen Circle and for approval of Reliability Charges to be recovered thereof.
144	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	N/a	24.02.09	144 of 08	Review of Order dated 10.12.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 for refund of Additional Supply Charge (ASC)/ Incremental Additional Supply Charge (In ASC) considering base tariff energy charges.
145	Spencer Retail Ltd	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd.	06.02.09	145 of 08	Regarding re-determination of the Tariff for the category LT-II with sanctioned load of above 20 kW but below 50 KV and with sanctioned load of 50 KW and above.
146	Maharashtra State Distribution Co. Ltd	N/a	09.03.08	146 of 08	Seeking permission for continuation of billing as per existing tariff Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007, up to the issue of new Tariff Order
147	Shri Vinod Sadashiv Bhagwat	MSEDCL	02.01.09	147 of 08	Seeking directives from the Commission for refund of Service Line Charges (SLC) for the period 01.11.1999 to 04.05.2000.
148	REDAM	MSEDCL	16.01.09	148 of 08	Implementation of the Commission's Order dated 24.11.2003, Order dated 12.09.2006 and Judgment dated 05.02.2008 passed by the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal in Appeal No. 15 of 2007 for the payment of interest by MSEDCL on delayed payments for energy off-taken.

NO.	APPLICANT	RESPONDENTS	DATE OF APPLICATION	CASE NO.	SUBJECT
149	Tata Motors Ltd and Nishkalp Energy Ltd	MSETCL, and MSEDCL	21.02.09	149 of 08	Seeking directives of the Commission for formulation of special terms for open access applicable for wind energy projects, falling under Group II category
150	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd	Not mentioned	23.02.09	150 of 08	For post facto approval of the Fuel Adjustment Charge for the period October 2008 to December 2008
151	Cipla Cancer & AIDS Foundation	MSEDCL	13.03.09	151 of 08	To Set aside / amend the Impugned Tariff Order dated 31.05.2008 to the extent it classifies the Petitioner under the category of HT-II Commercial and apply the tariff applicable under HT-1 (Non-continuous) or HT-IV category, and to Classify the Petitioner under any other category of public service or any category having similarity of consumption pattern as the Petitioner
152	Reliance Infrastructure Ltd	N/a	28.03.09	152 of 08	To grant approval for charging of existing tariff by Rlnfra to its consumers for the consumption from 01.04.2009 onwards until Tariff Order for FY 2009-10 is issued.
153	Tata Power Co. Ltd.	N/a	26.03.09	153 of 08	To grant approval for charging of existing tariff by Tata Power Co. Ltd. o its consumers for the consumption from 01.04.2009 onwards until Tariff Order for FY 2009-10 is issued.
154	BEST Undertaking	N/a	31.03.09	154 of 08	To grant approval for charging of existing tariff by BEST Undertaking to its consumers for the consumption from 01.04.2009 onwards until Tariff Order for FY 2009-10 is issued.
155	Suo Motu	MSETCL, TPC, BEST, Rlnfra,	—	155 of 08	Determination of Tariff for Intra-State Transmission System (InSTS) for the third year of the MYT Control Period (i.e. FY 2009-10)

## Annexure II

## LIST OF ORDERS ISSUED BY COMMISSION DURING 2008-09

SR. NO.	CASE NO.	DATE OF APPLN	DATE OF HEARING	DATE OF ORDER	SUBJECT
1	102 of 07	17.03.2008 and 25.03.2008	01.04.2008	01.04.2008	Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd and Reliance Energy Ltd. Petitions for Extension of applicability of existing Tariff Orders
2	68 of 07	30.11.2007	13.02.2008	02.04.2008	Petition of Tata Power Co. Ltd. (Generation) for Annual Performance Review for FY 2007-08 and Tariff Petition for FY 2008-09
3	91 of 07	16.01.2008	25.03.2008	15.04.2008	Petition of Suzlon Power Infrastructure Ltd seeking clarification / amendment in the terms & conditions of Tariff Regulations 2005 with respect to design of 220 kV Transmission Lines with reference to Wind Energy Sector.
4	31 of 2007	09.07.2007	22.08.2007	15.04.2008	Petition of Tata Power Co. Ltd. seeking Clarification on Applicability of Wheeling and Transmission Charges for the Supa and other New Wind Projects.
5	65 of 2007	30.11.2007	14.02.2008	21.04.2008	Petition of Reliance Energy Ltd. (Generation) for Annual Performance Review for FY 2007-08 and Tariff Petition for 2008-09.
6	84 of 2007	03.01.2008	04.03.2008	02.05.2008	Petition of Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd seeking permission to recruit personnel to pay groups III and IV categories in its offices.
7	46 of 2007	18.09.2007	Technical Validation Session 23.10.2007	02.05.2008	Petition of Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. for approval of additional Quantum of Long Trm Power Procurement by MSEDCL through Competitive Bidding under Case-I and Case-2 Bidding.
8	96 of 2007	25.01.2008	22.04.2008	06.05.2008	Petition of Menon & Menon Ltd seeking review of Clarificatory Order dated 11.09.2007 in Case Nos. 26 of 2007 and 65 of 2006.
9	81 of 2007	10.12.2007	Case withdrawn	06.05.2008	Complaint filed by Chamunda Textiles Mills against MSEDCL and Torrent Power Ltd. At Bhiwandi
10	93 of 2007	01.02.2008	04.03.2008	06.05.2008	Petition filed by Yash Agro Energy Ltd for Grant of Permission for Third Party Sale.
11	97 of 2007	18.02.2008	12.03.2008	06.05.2008	Petition of Gujarat Fluoro Chemicals Ltd seeking revision of guidelines for power purchase and procurement process of wind energy and the tariff applicable thereto fixed under the commission's Order dated 24.11.2003
12	58 of 2007	06.11.2007	26.02.2008	07.05.2008	Complaint filed by Shri Shahabuddin A Magdum against MSEDCL
13	99 of 2007	21.02.2008	12.02.2008	07.05.2008	Petition filed by MSEDCL as per direction of the Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench on financial aspects / viability of the Bid floated by MSEDCL in relation to Distribution Franchisee in Nagpur.
14	57 of 2006	06.12.2006	16.01.2007	08.05.2008	Complained by Renewable Energy Developers Association of Maharashtra alleging non-compliance by MSEDCL of the Commission's Oder dated 12.09.2006
15	32 of 2007	—	—	08.05.2008	Suo Motu proceedings with regard to field visits within the Distribution Area of MSEDCL.
16	40 of 2007	24.07.2007	12.03.2008	08.05.2008	Petition filed by MsEDCL seeking review of Order dated 26.6.2007 passed IN Case 27 of 2006.
17	1 and 2 of 2008	24.03.2008 and 4.4.2008	24.04.2008	08.05.2008	Petitions filed by (i) MSEDCL seeking approval of Reliability Charges for ensuring continuous power supply, and (ii) Baramati Vyapari Mahasangh Ltd regarding zero load shedding model for Baamati Town and nomination of Baramati Vyapari Mahasangh Ltd as interim franchisee for Baramati Town.
18	53 of 2007	26.09.2007	11.12.2007	20.05.2008	Petition of Sunflag Iron & Steel Co Ltd for providing off peak hours Banking Facility for the CPP power of Petitioner.
19	62 of 2007	08.11.2007	05.12.2007	20.05.2008	Petition of BEST Undertaking for Short Term Power Procurement for the months of April, May, and June 2007 from different sources and removal of difficulties regarding requirement of prior approval during a quarter as under Regulations 25, 84 and 85 of the MERC (Terms & Conditions of Tariff) Regulation, 2005
20	50 of 2007	15.10.2007	26.02.2008	23.05.2008	Petition of Global Boards Ltd seeking clarifications from the Commission's Order dated 11.09.2007 passed in Case Nos.26 of 2007 and 65 of 2006

SR. NO.	CASE NO.	DATE OF APPLN	DATE OF HEARING	DATE OF ORDER	SUBJECT
21	86 of 2007	01.01.2008	25.03.2008	23.05.2008	Petition of Maharashtra Chamber of Commerce, Industries and Agriculture seeking delimitation of MSEDCL's Area of License
22	67 of 2007	30.11.2007	13.02.2008	06.05.2008	Petition of Tata Power Company Ltd - Transmission for Annual Performance Review for FY 2007-08 and Revenue Requirement for FY 2008-09
23	64 of 2007	30.11.2007	14.02.2008	26.05.2008	Petition of Reliance Energy Ltd - Transmission for Annual Performance Review for FY 2007-08 and determination of Revenue Requirement for FY 2008-09
24	10 of 2008	22.04.2008	02.05.2008	Interim Order 30.05.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking approval to procure additional power for mitigating load shedding in Vashi Circle - Navi Mumbai and Thane Urban Circle, Levy of Reliability Chgarges and for appointment of Interim Franchisee.
25	22 of 2007	04.07.2007	31.07.2008	30.05.2008	Complaint of Jat Taluka Vidyutdharak Association against MSEDCL
26	88 of 2007	31.12.2006	5.03.2008	30.05.2008	Petition filed by MSETCL for approval of SLDC Budget for FY 2008-09
27	71 of 2007	30.11.2007	13.02.2008	31.05.2008	Petition of Maharashtra State Power Generation Co. Ltd. (MSPGCL) for approval of Annual Performance Review for 2007-08 and Tariff for 2008-09
28	72 of 2007	30.11.2007	03.04.2008	31.05.2008	Petition of Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. (MSPGCL) for approval of Annual Performance Review for 2007-08 and Tariff for 2008-09
29	104 of 2007	Suo Motu	—	31.05.2008	Suo motu proceedings for determination of Transmission Tariff for Intra-State Transmission System (InSTS) for the second year of the MYT control period (i.e. FY 2008-09)
30	66 of 2007	14.12.2007	14.02.2008	04.06.2008	Petition of Reliance Energy Ltd (Distribution Business) for approval of Annual Performance Review for 2007-08 and Tariff for 2008-09
31	69 of 2007	30.11.2007	13.02.2008	04.06.2008	Petition of Tata Power Company Ltd (Distribution Business) for approval of Annual Performance Review for 2007-08 and Tariff for 2008-09
32	72 of 2007	—	—	05.06.2008	Errata & Corrigendum of Order dated 31.05.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 in respect of MSEDCL's ARR/APR Petition
33	73 of 2007	17.12.2007	15.02.2008	06.06.2008	Petition of BEST Undertaking for approval of Annual Performance Review for 2007-08 and Tariff for 2008-09
34	—	Suo Motu	—	06.06.2008	Removal of difficulties under Regulation 96 of the MERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004
35	66 of 2007		—	17.06.2008	Errata and Corrigendum of Order dated 04.06.2008 in Case No. 66 of 2007 in respect of REL (D)'s APR/ARR/Tariff Petition.
36	69 of 2007		—	17.06.2008	Errata and Corrigendum of Order dated 04.06.2008 in Case No. 66 of 2007 in respect of TPC (D)'s APR/ARR/Tariff Petition.
37	73 of 2007		—	18.06.2008	Errata and Corrigendum of Order dated 06.06.2008 in Case No. 73 of 2007 in respect of BEST Undertaking's APR/ ARR/Tariff Petition.
38	5 of 2008	21.05.2008	25.04.2008	20.06.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking approval for appointment of interim Franchisee and approval of Reliability Charges to be recovered from Pune Consumers
39	14 and 15 of 2008	04.03.2008 and 04.03.2008	21.05.2008	14.07.2008	Applications of (a) Shri Azharul Islam Mohd. Ibrahim Siddiqi dated 04.03.2008 (in case No. 14 of 2008) and Shri Rashid Ahmed A.R. Mohamad dated 04.03.2008 in case No. 15 of 2008 regarding complaint against BEST Undertaking regarding installing/reconnection of Meter and reimbursement of amount paid for tampering with meter.
40	18 of 2008	02.04.2008	10.06.2008	14.07.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking review of the Order dated 16.02.2008 in Case 56 of 2007.
41	20 of 2008	23.04.2008	03.06.2008	15.07.2008	Complaint of Shri Shahabuddin Aamin Magdum alleging non-compliance by MSEDCL of CGRF's (Kolhapur) Order dated 06.09.2007
42	95 of 2007	04.02.2008	23.04.2008	15.07.2008	Complaint filed by Bajaj Auto Ltd under Sections 142 and 146 of the EA, 2003 alleging inappropriate action on behalf of MSEDCL and non-

SR. NO.	CASE NO.	DATE OF APPLN	DATE OF HEARING	DATE OF ORDER	SUBJECT
43	3 of 2008	23.01.2008	21.05.2008	16.07.2008	compliance of the Commission's Order dated 24.11.2003 Complaint filed by Maharashtra Electricity Consumers Association seeking penal action against MSEDCL for charging of Service Connection for underground connection instead of charging of Service Charges for over-head connection.
44	12 of 2008	18.03.2008	21.05.2008	16.07.2008	Complaint filed by Maharashtra Electricity Consumers Association alleging non-compliance of CGRF's (Bhandup Zone) Interim Order dated 25.01.2008 .
45	4 of 2008	04.03.2008	13.05.2008	16.07.2008	Complaint filed by Ajanta Limited under Section 142 and 146 of the EA, 2003 against MSEDCL alleging (i) inappropriate interpretation of the MERC Order dated 20.11.2007 in Case No. 33 of 2007 and (ii) non-compliance of the MERC Order dated 24.11.2003
46	18 of 2007	14.06.2007	14.08.2007	17.07.2008	Petition of Balaji Electro Smelters Ltd seeking clarifications on load factor formula, incentives on Additional Supply Charge (ASC) and Load Regulation for HT continuous and non-continuous industries.
47	7 of 2008	26.02.2008	13.05.2008	18.07.2008	Petition of Reliance Energy Ltd seeking clarification in the matter of Composite Transmission Losses of InSTS as held by the Commission vide its Order dated 27.06.2006 in Case No. 58 of 2005.
48	17 of 2008	03.04.2008	10.06.2008	24.07.2008	Petition of Sangli District Powerloom Owners Association Ltd seeking review of the MERC Order dated 18.05.2007 in Case No. 65 of 2006
49	19 of 2008	30.04.2008	21.05.2008	24.07.2008	Petition of Vidarbha Industries Association seeking clarification of Order dated 07.02.2007 in Case No. 59 of 2006
50	39 of 2008	30.07.2007	11.03.2008	28.07.2008	Petition of MSEDCL for approval of Power Purchase Agreement between MSEDCL and MSPGCL
51	100 of 2007	18.02.2008	22.04.2008	04.08.2008	Petition of Life Style International Ltd seeking clarifications on the applicability of Tariff Category for Multiplexes and Shopping Malls.
52	21 of 2008	05.05.2008	17.06.2008	05.08.2008	Petition of Ban Bro Metals Pvt Ltd seeking review of Clarificatory Order dated 17.12.2007 to the extent of applicability of Additional Supply Charge (ASC) and determination of Benchmark Consumption.
53	6 of 2008	17.04.2008	06.06.2008	06.08.2008	Petition filed by Reliance Energy Ltd seeking directions under Section 23 of the EA, 2003 for equitable allocation of TPC-Generation capacity for FY 2008-09
54	25 and 28 of 2008	28.05.2008 and 04.06.2008	18.06.2008	08.08.2008	Petition filed by Yash Agro Energy Ltd under the provisions of Sections 86(1)(b)(e) and (f) of the Ea, 2003 read with clause 125.2 of the Energy Purchase Agreement dated 25.10.2004, and complaint under section 142 read with 149 of the EA, 2005
55	54 of 2008	02.08.2008	13.08.2008	14.08.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking approval for adoption of the Tariff for long term power procurement under Case-I Bidding under Section 63 of the EA, 2003.
56	23 of 2008	24.12.2007	12.08.2008	20.08.2008	Petition filed by Maharashtra Biomass Energy Developers Association seeking review of the MERC Order dated 8th August, 2005 and Order dated 19th July, 2006 in Case No. 37 of 2003
57	99 of 2007	02.02.2008	16.07.2008	21.08.2008	Application of Indiabulls Power Generation Ltd for grant of Licence in accordance with the MERC (Trading License Conditions) Regulations, 2004
58	22 of 2008	03.03.2008	19.06.2008	22.08.2008	Petition of MSPGCL seeking In Principle approval for Development of Machhakata & Mahanadi Coal Blocks by Mine Developer-cum-Operator through Tender Route and Supply of Coal against the Competitive Coal Mining Fees.
59	57 of 2008	05.08.2008	20.08.2008	02.09.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking increase in load-shedding hours as a temporary measure
60	32 of 2008	05.06.2008	22.07.2008	02.09.2008	Complaint filed by Maharashtra Electricity Consumers Association for filling up post of Member Secretary at MSEDCL's CGRF at Bhandup.
61	49 of 2007	05.10.2007	12.12.2007	04.09.2008	Petition filed by New Bombay Ispt Udyog Pvt Ltd seeking review of Triff Order dated October 20, 2006 read with Clarificatory Order dated 26.02.2007
62	71 of 2007	Suo Motu	—	05.09.2008	Errata and Corrigendum of Order dated 31.05.2008 of the MSPGCL's

SR. NO.	CASE NO.	DATE OF APPLN	DATE OF HEARING	DATE OF ORDER	SUBJECT
63	40 of 2008	07.07.2008	05.08.2008	12.09.2008	APR/ARR and Tariff for FY 2008-09 in Case No. 71 of 2007 Petition filed by MSETCL seeking review of the MERC Order dated 31.05.2008 in the matter of MSETCL's Approval of APR and ARR for 2008-09
64	44 of 2008	05.07.2008	13.08.2008	12.09.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking clarification on Order dated 20.06.2008 in MSEDCL's APR/ARR/Tariff Petition in Case No. 72 of 2007
65	13 of 2008	03.04.2008	05.08.2008	15.09.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking reconsideration of the Clauses of Penalty under the Renewable Purchase Specification
66	77 of 2007	02.11.2007	20.12.2007	15.09.2008	Petition filed by Hanil Era Textiles Ltd on MSEDCL not allowing subsidized tariff for powerloom and demand of additional amounts by MSEDCL.
67	42 of 2007	10.08.2007	28.09.2007	15.09.2008	Petition filed by Hanil Era Textiles Ltd disputing certain bills issued by MSEDCL as illegal.
68	60 of 2008	31.07.2008	11.09.2008	29.09.2008	Petition of Haleem Mohd. Hanif Khan seeking review of the MERC Tariff Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007 to the extent of LT-V Tariff for Powerloom.
69	30 of 2008	27.05.2008	16.07.2008	29.09.2008	Petition of Sagareshwar Sahakari Soot Girni Ltd seeking review of Clarificatory Order dated 17.12.2007 to the extent of Applicability of Additional Supply Charge and determination of Benchmark Consumption.
70	89 of 2007	21.01.2008	05.03.2008	07.10.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking directives in respect of purchase of wind power and/or wheeling of energy for long term commercial arrangement from wind farm projects under Group II category after expiry of validity period.
71	47 of 2008	16.07.2008	12.08.2008	14.10.2008	Complaint filed by Jat Taluka Vidyut Dharak Association for filling up of the post of Chairman lying vacant at CGRF Kolhapur Zone.
72	33 of 2008	23.05.2008	02.09.2008	14.10.2008	Petition of MSEDCL for approval of Deviations taken in Request for Proposal (RFP) documents issued to bidders, from Standard Bidding Documents issued by the Ministry of Power, for Development of Dhopave Project under International Competitive Bidding Process (Case-2 Bidding)
73	24 of 2008	05.05.2008	06.08.2008	20.10.2008	Petition of BEST Undertaking seeking Revocation of Licence of Reliance Energy Ltd for distribution of electricity in the suburban area.
74	49 of 2008	11.07.2008	20.08.2008	22.10.2008	Petition of Pioneer Distilleries Ltd for adjudication of dispute with MSEDCL regarding refusal of MSEDCL to grant permission for laying of 33 kV Line for connecting the generating plant with the sub-station.
75	85 of 2008	01.10.2008	17.08.2008	06.01.2009	Petition of MSEDCL seeking directions in respect of Energy Purchase Agreement (EPA) dated 02.09.2002 executed between MSEDCL and Puri Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.
76	17 of 2007	25.05.2007	15.04.2008	27.10.2008	Petition of MSPGCL for approval of Lease Rent of Hydel Sttions owned by the Gtoverment of Maharashtra leased to MSPGCL for operation and maintenance.
77	39 of 2008	26.06.2008	13.08.2008	05.11.2008	Petition of Shri Pratap Hogade regarding illegalevy of Service Line Contribution Charges and Refund thereof to consumers by Reliance Energy Ltd.
78	61 of 2008	01.08.2008	Technical Velidatin Session 17.09.2008	14.11.2008	Petition of MSEDCL for approval of Deviations taken in Bidding Documents issued to Bidders, for procurement of 2000 MW power on Long Term Basis under International Competitive Biding Process (Case-I)
79	83 of 2007	21.01.2008	15.04.2008	17.11.2008	Petition of Captive Power Producers Association seeking clarification arising out of the Commission's RPS Order dated 16.08.2006 in Case No. 6 of 2006.
80	63 of 2007	22.11.2007	18.12.2007	17.11.2008	Petition Maharashtra Energy Development Agency (MEDA) for deciding the Methodology for sharing of Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) Benefits between Investors and Utility.

SR. NO.	CASE NO.	DATE OF APPLN	DATE OF HEARING	DATE OF ORDER	SUBJECT
81	50 of 2008	18.07.2008	16.09.2008	12.11.2008	Petition of Mumbai International Airport Pvt Ltd seeking review of Order dated 4.6.2008 in Case No. 66 of 2007 in respect of Reliance Energy Ltd, to the extent it classifies the Petitioner under the Category of HT-II commercial
82	64 of 2008	05.08.2008	23.09.2008	12.11.2008	Complaint of Akhil Bhartiya Grhak Panchayat, Ltur under Sections 142, 146 and 149 of the EA,2003 against MSEDCL regarding levy of MD based tariff.
83	79 of 2008	11.09.2008	16.10.2008	17.11.2008	Complaint of Shri Haribhau Digambar Khapre of Jat Taluka Vidyut Dharak Association seeking directives under Section 142 of EA, 2003 for non-compliance of CGRF Regulations.
84	45 of 2008	30.04.2008	13.08.2008	21.11.2008	Petition of BEST Undertaking for approval of expression of Interest Bidding Procedure followed to Procure Renewable Energy from eligible sources inside Maharashtra as Competitive Bidding Process.
85	77 of 2008 and 78 of 2008	11.09.2008	29.09.2008	28.11.2008	Two Petitions of MSEDCL both dated 11.09.2008 for Revision of the Principles and Protocols of Load Shedding Hours in the State of Maharashtra, and (ii) revision of load shedding hours to be implemented from September 02, 2008 on a temporary basis.
86	70 of 2008	27.08.2008	07.10.2008	28.11.2008	Petition of Tata Power Co. Ltd. On removal / relaxation of CAP on the fuel Adjustment Charge as per Regulation 82.6 of the MERC (Terms & Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2005
87	59 of 2008	12.08.2008	02.09.2008	01.12.2008	Petition of BEST Undertaking to allow recovery of 'under recovered FAC' for the period October 2007 to March 2008, increase in the CAP Cover FAC and allow it to recover actual FAC
88	29 of 2008	20.05.2008	23.07.2008	01.12.2008	Petition of Tata Power Co Ltd seeking review of Order dated 02.04.2008 in Case No. 68 of 2007 in the matter of TPC-G's APR Petition.
89	65 of 2008	14.08.2008	23.09.2008	28.11.2008	Petition of Reliance Infrastructure Ltd for recovery of variation in power purchase cost approved by the Commission in REL-D Tariff Order dated 04.06.2008
90	42 of 2008	21.07.2008	13.08.2008	10.12.2008	Petition of MSEDCL seeking review of Order dated 20th June, 2008 in the matter of APR for 2007-08 and tariff determination for 2008-09 in Case No. 72 of 2007.
91	58 of 2008	04.08.2008	16.09.2008, 15.10.2008	10.12.2008	Petition of Renewable Energy Developers Association of Maharashtra regarding refusal of MSEDCL to make any payment to the wind farm developers of Group-II category for the energy that is being fed to the MSEDCL Grid after the date of expiry of the EPAs
92	81 of 2008	14.08.2008	21.10.2008	17.12.2008	Petition of R.S. Associates seeking review / clarification of the Order dated 24.04.2007 in Case No. 75 of 2006 in the matter of approval of REL-D ARR for the control period 2007-08 to 2009-10 and Retail Tariff for 2007-08.
93	76 of 2008	08.09.2008	14.10.2008	19.12.2008	Complaint filed by Shri Vishwas Maruti Patil under Sections 142, 149, 57(2) of the EA, 2003 against MSEDCL for not ensuring uninterrupted and continuous power supply to his factory
94	35 of 2008	11.04.2008	12.08.2008	12.12.2008	Petition of Century Rayon seeking clarification as to whether the Co-generation Power Plant is covered under the RPS Order dated 16.08.2006 in Case No. 6 of 2006
95	72 of 2008	04.09.2008	16.10.2008	19.12.2008	Complaint of Maharashtra Paper & Board Manufacturer's Association u/s 142 of the EA, 2003 alleging MSEDCL for breach of Tariff Order dated 10.03.2004 in Case No. 2 of 2003
96	34 of 2008	25.06.2008	22.07.2008	19.12.2008	Petition of Lavasa Corporation Ltd seeking Tariff Classification for Development of Hill Station pursuant to respective Govt Resolution and Tourism Policy
97	85 of 2008	01.10.2008	17.10.2008	06.01.2009	Petition of MSEDCL seeking directions in respect of EPA dated 02.09.2002 between MSEDCL and Purti Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.
98	41 of 2008	10.03.2008	05.08.2008	09.01.2009	Petition of MSEDCL seeking amendment of the Supply Code in relation to enhancement of Security Deposit.

SR. NO.	CASE NO.	DATE OF APPLN	DATE OF HEARING	DATE OF ORDER	SUBJECT
99	97 of 2008	29.09.2008	23.12.2008	21.01.2009	Complaint of Shri Suresh W. Patil challenging his transfer by MSPGCL from Thermal Power Plant Station, Khaperkheda to Tillari Hydro Station
100	43 of 2008	07.07.2008	06.08.2008	21.09.2009	Petition of Tata Power Co. Ltd (Transmission) seeking review of Order dated 26.05.2008 in Case No. 67 of 2007 in the matter of TPC-T's APR Petition.
101	53 of 2008	29.07.2008	23.09.2008	23.01.2009	Complaint of Shri Tribhuvan Faujdar Yadav alleging MSEDCL for non-compliance of CGRF (MSEDCL, Kalyan Zone) Order dated 14.02.2008
102	83 of 2008	23.09.2008	16.12.2008	23.01.2009, and 9.2.2008 (Errata and Corrigendum)	Petition of Maharashtra Biomass Developers Association seeking review of variable charge component of the COG determined under Orders dated 08.08.2005 (Case 37 of 2003) and 19.07.2006 (Case 37 of 2005).
103	46 of 2008	16.07.2008	06.08.2008	02.02.2009	Petition of TPC (Distribution) seeking review of order dated 04.06.08 issued in Case 69 of 2007 in respect of TPC-D's APR & Tariff for 2008-09
104	10 of 2007	25.05.2007	21.08.07 and 22.07.2008	02.02.2009	Application of MSEDCL initiating Enquiry in respect of Revocation / Suspension of Licence of Mula Pravara Electric Co-op. Society Ltd.
105	96 of 2008	28.05.2008	16.08.2008, and 17.12.2008	08.02.2009	Application of Jaigad Power Transco Ltd. Seeking Transmission Licence under the MERC (Transmission Licence Conditions) Regulations, 2004 as amended in 2006 for undertaking Transmission of Electricity in the State of Maharashtra for the proposed 400 kV Transmission Lines.
106	94 of 2007	21.01.2007	15.04.2008	10.02.2009	Petition of Maharashtra State Power Generation Co Ltd for approval of Operating Norms for Pumped Storage Stations and Tariff Determination of Ujjani and Paithan Pumped Storage Stations.
107	97 of 2007	24.03.2008	18.11.2008	12.02.2009	Petition of Reliance Energy Ltd (Reliance Infrastructure Ltd) for approval of PPA between Rinfra-G and R-Infra-D) in accordance with regulation 86 of the MERC Terms & Conditions of tariff) Regulations, 2005
108	8 of 2008	24.10.2008	14.01.2009	18.02.2009	Petition of Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran seeking review of the Order dated 31.05.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007
109	110 of 2008	21.08.2008	23.09.2008	18.02.2009	Petition of Reliance Infrastructure-Distribution for approval of Short-Term Power Purchase from various sources for July-August-September, 2008
110	66 of 2008	13.10.2008	19.11.2008	26.02.2009	Petition Reliance Infrastructure Ltd seeking approval of EPA dated 13.09.2008 for purchasing the entire energy generated from 3 x 9 MW wind farms owned and operated by Bajaj Finserv Ltd at Satara.
111	89 of 2008	19.11.2008	06.01.2009	04.03.2009	Complaint of Hotel Sangam regarding non-compliance of Order dated 21.09.2007 by CGRF, Aurangabad Zone, by MSEDCL.
112	107 of 2008	10.11.2008	07.01.2009	04.03.2009	Petition of Tarapur Industrial Manufacturers' Association seeking approval for procurement of Power equivalent to load shedding power in MIDC Tarapur Industrial Area, Dist. Thane.
113	108 of 2008	09.09.2008	15.10.2008	09.03.2009	Petition of Bajaj FinServ Ltd seeking clarification of the MERC Order dated 20.11.2007 in Case No. 33 of 2007
114	80 of 2008	29.05.2008	Suo Motu	23.03.2009	Final Order on the Petition of MSEDCL seeking approval to procure additional power for mitigating load shedding in Vashi Circle-Navi Mumbai and Than Urban Circle, levy of reliability charges and for appointment of Interim Franchisee.
115	10 of 2008	25.07.2008	02.09.2008	23.03.2009	Petition of Ispat Industries Ltd seeking Review of Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007
116	51 of 2008	07.10.2008	18.11.2008	23.03.2009	Petition of Jain Sweet & Bhelpuri House seeking review of Order dated 04.06.2008 in Case No. 66 of 2007
117	87 of 2008	20.10.2008	16.02.2009	23.03.2009	Petition of Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co Ltd seeking amendment in processing fees for Application of Open Access Consumers.
118	92 of 2008	28.01.2009	28.01.2009	23.03.2009	Petition of Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co Ltd seeking enhancement in transmission charges for short-term open access consumers.

SR. NO.	CASE NO.	DATE OF APPLN	DATE OF HEARING	DATE OF ORDER	SUBJECT
119	134 of 2008	06.08.2008, 08.09.2008, & 8.9.2008 respectively	17.09.2008	24.03.2009	Petitions of (i) Maharashtra Rajya Veej Grahak Sanghatana, (ii) Kolhapur Zilla Sahakari Pani Purvatha Sansthacha Sahakari Sangh, and (iii) Maharashtra Rajya Irrigation Federation seeking clarification on the applicability of Fuel Adjustment Cost in the MERC Order dated 20.06.2008 in Case No. 72 of 2007
120	63, 74 and 75 of 2008	23.09.2008	17.03.2009	25.03.2009	Petition of Maharashtra Biomass Energy Developers Association seeking review of variable charge component of the COG determined under Orders dated 8.8.2005 in Case 37 of 2003 and dated 19.07.2006 in Case No. 37 of 2005.
121	83 of 2008	24.11.2008	16.12.2008	30.03.2009	Petition of MSEDCL seeking review of Regulations relating to Fuel Adjustment Cost Formula as specified in the MERC (Terms & Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2005.
122	102 of 2008	24.11.2008	14.01.2009	30.03.2009	Petition of MSEDCL seeking review of Regulations relating to Fuel Adjustment Cost Formula as specified in the MERC (Terms & Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2005.

## Annexure III

## MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

## RECEIPTS &amp; PAYMENTS ACCOUNT FOR THE YEAR ENDED ON 31ST MARCH 2009

Previous Year 2007-08	RECEIPTS	Current Year AMOUNT	2008-09 AMOUNT	Previous Year 2007-08	PAYMENTS	Current Year AMOUNT	2008-09 AMOUNT
24,636,524.78	(A) OPENING BALANCE		6,686,518.78	8,219,590.00	(A) PERSONNEL EXPENDITURE		
20,901.04	Cash on Hand	26,323.54		1,585,358.00	1. Salary To Member	1,887,608.00	9,073,777.00
	S.B.I. SB A/C	7,085,323.24		4,796,270.00	2. Staff Salary	4,728,955.00	
24,615,623.74	S.B.I. Current Account	(425,128.00)		445,000.00	3. Residential Rent for Members	691,384.00	
	S.B.I. Fees & Cost Recover SB A/C	294,902.00			4. BEST - Salary Reimbursement	254,232.00	
				108,242.00	5. Contribution To		
158,309,502.00	(B) GRANTS & OTHER RECEIPTS			93,663.00	Provident Fund/MERC PPF Share	358,253.00	
100,000.00	Grants from GoM	100,000.00	184,532,540.00	236,232.00	Medical Reimbursement	137,441.00	
9,206,732.00	Interest on STDR Currrent A/c	22,565,603.00		567,385.00	Gratuity	306,527.00	
148,884,198.00	Fees & Cost Recovered	161,588,750.00		47,722.00	Incentive	553,499.00	
81,205.00	Interest on S B	270,069.00		44,816.00	Leave Travel Concession	68,568.00	
37,367.00	Misc. Receipts	8,118.00			Peon Allowance	87,310.00	
	Pension recovered from Member's Salary			20,388,672.00	(B) ESTABLISHMENT EXPENSES		19,796,067.50
				21,421.00	Conveyance	22,824.00	
9,190,263.00	(C) RECOVERIES FROM SALARIES		9,975,448.00	478,814.00	Telephone	445,780.00	
	Computer Advance			17,262,182.00	Office Rent	17,418,651.00	
28,262.00	CPF/EPF Employees Share	287,133.00		360,000.00	Car Parking		
71,500.00	General Provident Fund	43,500.00		583,338.50	Printing & Stationery	378,230.50	
6,960.00	Group Insurance Scheme	5,040.00		450,692.00	Petrol	394,587.00	
-	Interest on Motor Car Advance			113,922.00	Postage & Courier	92,416.00	
6,394.00	Licence Fees	4,340.00		9,082.00	Bank Charges & Commission	3,665.00	
52,200.00	Loan Instalment	52,200.00		7,963.00	Entertainemnt	59,361.00	
56,945.00	Profession Tax	54,300.00		364,484.00	Electricity	351,152.00	
4,052,850.00	TDS on Consultancy	4,800,970.00		40,921.00	Books & Periodicals	35,107.00	
84,559.00	TDS on Contract	48,917.00		73,393.50	Office Expenses	49,248.00	
3,983,009.00	TDS on Rent	4,063,599.00		281,467.00	House Keeping Expenses	320,438.00	
592,146.00	TDS on Salary	560,826.00		202,255.00	Canteen	155,414.00	
	TDS Refundable			9,221.00	Audit Fees	50,000.00	
248,063.00	MERC Employees PF Share	40,302.00		105,510.00	Rental For Equipment	-	
4,000.00	Motor Car Advance			33,227.00	Consumables Office Equipment	19,194.00	
3,375.00	House Building Advance	5,100.00		54,123,966.00	( C ) EXPENSE ON THE OBJECT		49,021,791.00
591,934.00	(D) RECEIPTS OF DEPOSITS FROM OTHERS		556,943.00	807,458.00	Public Hearing	238,852.00	
271,934.00	Securities Deposits	436,943.00		37,094,580.00	Consultancy	37,042,259.00	
320,000.00	Earnest Money Deposits	110,000.00		8,825,267.00	Legal Fees	4,755,793.00	
	Deposits MSedcl (Penalty order)	10,000.00					
458,774.00	Advertisement & Publicity	413,536.00		5,118,209.00	Tour & Travel	4,744,700.00	
1,850,767.00	(E) RECOVERIES OF STAFF			165,957.00	Annual Subscription	22,003.00	
	ADVANCES PAID	514,778.00		967,430.00	Publication Printing	236,313.00	
1,792,382.00	Advances for Expenses	466,648.00		64,037.00	Meeting	315,399.00	
-	Festival Advance			190,271.00	Internet	683,070.00	
58,385.00	Advance Against Salary	18,850.00					
	Advance - Motor Cycle	29,280.00					
175,302.00	Web Site	212,897.00					
10,188,860.00	(F) RECOVERIES OF DEPOSITS /		2,829,553.46	124,592.00	Membership Fees	120,500.00	
	ADVANCES PAID			5,553.46	Equipment Hire Charges	83,469.00	
1,000.00	Telephone Deposits			124,839.00	Seminar/Workshop Expenses	-	
187,860.00	Advance to Suppliers	1,324,000.00		7,250.00	Exp on Consumer Advocacy Programme		
	Advance to MERC Ombudsman			1,500,000.00	Software / C D Expenses	153,000.00	
10,000,000.00	Deposits- Residence			1,978,049.00	(D) EXPENDITURE ON ASSETS	1,562,263.00	
				58,000.00	Computer		
				439,330.00	Computer Repair Maintenance	526,018.00	
				2,330.00	Repair & Maintenance For Vehicle	29,613.00	
155,000,000.00	(G) ENCASHMENT OF FIXED DEPOSIT		290,000,000.00	423,132.00	Repairs & Maintenance	153,899.00	
	SBI Short Term Deposit	290,000,000.00		53,033.00	Vehicle		
				1,152.00	Vehicle Insurance		
57,050.00	(H) RECEIPTS AGAINST SALE OF		1,000.00	1,001,072.00	Vehicle Lease Rent	852,733.00	
	ASSETS						
-	Laptop			1,643,718.00	(E) PURCHASE OF ASSETS	26,968,442.00	
56,250.00	Vehicles			389,038.00	Computers/ Servers	644,712.00	
800.00	Telephone EPBX			1,000.00	Software	768,621.00	
	Telephone - Mobile			457,922.00	RIMS Project H/w & S/w	16,257,214.00	
				131,895.00	Furniture & Fixture	41,250.00	
				62,213.00	Office Equipment	25,740.00	
				86,494.00	Library Books	50,700.00	
				6,033.00	Telephone Instrument	49,169.00	
				510,123.00	Electrical Installation		
					Interior Renovation		
					License for RIMS Project	9,131,036.00	
				1,252,169.00	(F) MISC PAYMENT		
				1,250,994.00	TDS On Interest	887,035.00	887,035.00
				1,175.00	Refund of Fees collected under RTI	-	
359,824,900.78	BALANCE C/F		495,096,781.24	87,606,164.00	BALANCE C/F		107,309,375.50

Previous Year 2007-08	RECEIPTS	Current Year AMOUNT	2008-09 AMOUNT	Previous Year 2007-08	PAYMENTS	Current Year AMOUNT	2008-09 AMOUNT
359,824,900.78	BALANCE B/F		495,096,781.24	87,606,164.00	BALANCE B/F		107,309,375.50
				9,978,520.00	(G) PAYMENT AGAINST RECOVERY		9,977,051.00
				-	Computer Advance		
				32,676.00	CPF/epf Employees Share	288,121.00	
				73,500.00	General Provident Fund	43,500.00	
				7,020.00	Group Insurance Scheme	5,040.00	
				263,582.00	MERC Employees PF Share	36,632.00	
				6,000.00	Motor Car Advance		
				6,394.00	Licence Fees	4,340.00	
				56,550.00	Loan Instalment	52,200.00	
				63,090.00	Profession Tax	54,300.00	
				4,343,345.00	TDS on Consultancy	4,818,035.00	
				115,384.00	TDS on Contract	50,458.00	
				4,281,075.00	TDS on Rent	4,063,599.00	
				646,904.00	TDS on Salary	560,826.00	
				83,000.00	House Building Advance Payment		
				999,204.00	(H) PAYMENT AGAINST DEPOSIT AND ADVANCE TAKEN		50,000.00
				99,204.00	Security Deposit		
				900,000.00	Earnest Money Deposit	50,000.00	
					MSEB TATA BSES dispute Tel Deposit	-	
				2,831,925.00	(I) PAYMENT OF ADVANCE TO STAFF		594,885.00
				2,723,925.00	Advances for Expenses	391,985.00	
					Festival Advance		
				108,000.00	Advance Against Salary	202,900.00	
					Advance - Motor Cycle		
					(J) REFUND OF FEES/ COST COLLECTED		
					Refund Of Fees	-	-
					(K) INVESTMENTS		
				245,000,000.00	Short Term Deposit	365,000,000.00	365,000,000.00
				6,722,569.00	(L) DEPOSIT & ADVANCE PAID		
					Deposit For Residential Accommodation		10,963,008.00
				184,669.00	Advance To Suppliers	2,783,630.00	
				1,500.00	Telephone Deposit	1,200.00	
				6,535,400.00	MERC Ombudsman Office(Grant)	8,178,178.00	
				1,000.00	Other Deposits		
					(M) PAYMENT OF OUTSTANDING TO WTC OF EARLIER YEARS		
				6,686,518.78	(N) CLOSING BALANCE		1,202,461.74
				26,323.54	Cash on Hand	4,137.04	
				(425,128.00)	S.B.I. Current Account	(238,855.00)	
				7,085,323.24	SBI SB A/C	1,437,179.70	
359,824,900.78	TOTAL (Rs)		495,096,781.24	359,824,900.78	TOTAL (Rs)		495,096,781.24

FOR MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sd/-  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-  
SECRETARY